

B X

6955

H45

Do The Bible
and
Christian Science
Agree?

if not

Is Christian Science
Christian?

BY
C. R. HAUDENSCHILD



**Do the Bible
and
Christian Science Agree?
If not
Is Christian Science
Christian?**

* * *

— By —
C. R. HAUDENSCHIELD
Author of
“GOD'S ANSWERS TO MAN'S EXCUSES”

* * *

ADDRESS
Barrington, Illinois, Box 211
or
Los Angeles, California, Route 6

PREFACE

In taking up this subject which is attracting so much attention these days, we do not propose to attack Mrs. Eddy or Christian Scientists, as we have no quarrel with them. But we do propose to prove by Scripture that the teachings of Mrs. Eddy, and as taught by Christian Scientists, are not only, not in accord with the teachings of Jesus Christ, as taught in the Bible, but opposed to the teaching of the Word of God. And not being in harmony with the teaching of Jesus Christ, cannot be rightly called CHRISTIAN.

We propose to use Mrs. Eddy's own words, and prove to the reader that she does not believe the Bible; That the statement "That the Bible is their only guide," is wholly untrue. We shall prove that the so-called healing as taught and practiced by Christian Science, is NOT "Divine Healing," as taught in the Bible; and believed by CHRISTIANS; And that the so-called religion of Mrs. Eddy is not the religion of Jesus Christ, and the Apostles, and as revealed to us by the Holy Ghost.

It is not our intention to go into an exhaustive study of this cult, as this has been done most effectively by others. But we do propose to take every fundamental doctrine of Scripture, and show that Mrs. Eddy either positively denies it, or by her method of explaining Scripture, theorizes it until it has lost its Scriptural meaning, and wrested from its Bible connection.

We are not going to tell what we think about Christian Science, nor what any person thinks of it, but what God the Holy Ghost says about it in the Bible, and that will be final to every honest seeker after the truth.

IMPORTANT

We are going to quote from Mrs. Eddy's book, and then give the Scripture, chapter and verse that will answer the

Q GLA 473092
2

AUG 11 1917

no 1

quotation. All quotations found in this book, are taken from the 1913 edition of Science and Health, unless otherwise stated. We have been accused of not quoting correctly the statements made by Mrs. Eddy, since some cannot find the quotation on the page given. The book has passed through so many revisions, that the page given in the 1913 edition, does not always correspond to the same page in other editions of her book. Some of the chapters found in the middle and back part of other editions, are found in the front of the edition that I am using. So has the subject matter been shifted, that even the same matter is not always found under the same heading. By this act of shifting, in revising her book, she has changed the location of the contents of the book. If you will refer to the edition from which I am quoting, you will find every quotation as given here. This is important to the reader.

All quotations of Mrs. Eddy and her followers are printed in bold face type.

The answers and attack made here, is the Bible's against the teachings of Mrs. Eddy. We propose to prove that the Bible and Christian Science do not agree, and thus answering the question found in the title of this book. We believe that any person seeking to know the truth on this subject, will find the light, and be saved from one of the most dangerous teachings abroad in the land today.

CONTENTS

Chapter		Page
I.	GOD	5
II.	JESUS CHRIST	9
III.	HOLY SPIRIT	16
IV.	MATTER	20
V.	MAN	23
VI.	ATONEMENT	29
VII.	FORGIVENESS	36
VIII.	SOUL	40
IX.	REFORM	42
X.	PRAYER	47
XI.	HEALING	53
XII.	SIN	62
XIII.	SATAN	71
XIV.	JUDGMENT	76
XV.	MIRACLES	77
XVI.	HEAVEN	78
XVII.	RESURRECTION	80
XVIII.	DISEASE	84
XIX.	CONTRADICTIONS	90
XX.	THE BIBLE OR SCIENCE AND HEALTH, WHICH?	94

Copyrighted 1917 by C. R. Haudenschild.

CHAPTER I GOD

P. 331. L. 18. ..“God is divine PRINCIPLE.”

When you hear a Christian Scientist talk about God, you know what they mean. A PRINCIPLE. That is not the God of the Bible, and that Christians pray to, and believe in. The God of the Bible has all the attributes of personality. Has emotions. Zach. 8: 17, “I hate saith the Lord.” God can hate. Next, He can be angry. Jer. 4: 8, “For the fierce anger of the Lord is not turned back from us.” God is JEALOUS, Joshua 24: 19, “He is a jealous God.” Has INDIGNATION, Ezk. 21: 31, “I will pour out mine indignation upon thee.” LOVES, John 3: 16, “For God so loved the world, that He gave His only begotten Son.” Full of MERCY. Eph. 2: 4, “But God who is rich in MERCY.”

Has the attributes of personality. Gen. 1: 27, “So God created man in His own image.”

Gen. 2: 8, “And the Lord God planted a garden eastward in Eden; and there He put the man whom He had formed.”

Gen. 3: 8, “And they heard the voice of the Lord God walking in the garden.”

Gen. 3: 21, “Unto Adam also and to his wife did the Lord God make coats of skins, and clothed them.”

None of these attributes can be applied to principle, but to a PERSON. Let us substitute Mrs. Eddy's God PRINCIPLE, and hear how it will read.

And the Principle planted a garden eastward in Eden; and there Principle put the man which Principle formed.

And they heard the voice of the Principle walking in the garden.

But Principle which is rich in mercy.

So Principle created man in Principle's image.

Unto Adam also and unto his wife did the Principle make coats of skin.

Luke 1: 19, "And the Angel answered and said unto him, I am Gabriel, that stand in the presence of Principle."

74th Edition, P. 452. "God is SUBSTANCE, that is, the only real substance."

Then the only real substance in the world is God; and God is good, all else SIN, Sickness, Satan, Death are unreal. The ONLY REAL thing is Deity, and this Deity is IMPERSONAL. So the ONLY REAL thing in the universe is IMPERSONALITY, as Christian Science says on Page 605, "**IMPERSONAL BEING.**"

Hear the testimony of the Bible.

Exodus 3: 14. "And God said unto Moses, I AM THAT I AM; and He said, thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, I AM hath sent me unto you."

2 Chron. 13: 12, "And, behold God Himself is with us for our captain."

Isaiah 41: 13, "For I the Lord thy God WILL HOLD THY RIGHT HAND saying unto thee, Fear not; I WILL HELP THEE."

What stronger language could be used to show that God is a Person, and not as Mrs. Eddy says, a Principle, or SUBSTANCE.

P. 284. L. 1. "It is not rational to say that mind is infinite, but dwells in finiteness,—or that matter is the medium of mind."

Mrs. Eddy charges the Bible as not being rational, for it tells us different.

John 1: 14, "And the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us, (And we beheld His glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the Father) (full of grace and truth.)"

P. 275. L. 12. "LIFE, TRUTH, LOVE, are Scripture names for God."

Life, truth, and love are not Scriptural names for God. They are the attributes of God. God is love, but love is not God. God is truth, but truth is not God. When Mrs. Eddy speaks of God she explains herself, her meaning by saying, "God is Principle," that is, not God in the concrete, a personal conscious being, but God in the abstract, as Principle, Truth, Love, Power. The heathen have always understood God in this light, hence they deify every passion and ambition.

The Bible teaches that God is a PERSON, Scriptural, eternal, and all powerful. His natural attributes, unity, eternity, omnipotence, omni-presence, omniscient, immut-

ability and invisibility. These attributes He cannot communicate to His creatures. Then His moral attributes, wisdom, goodness, holiness, justice, mercy, truth and peace. These attributes are not God, but are essential qualities of His nature; through these He manifests His being.

Heb. 1: 1-3, "God who, at sundry times and in divers manners spake in times past unto the fathers by the prophets, hath in these last days spoken unto us by HIS SON, whom HE hath appointed heir of all things, by whom also HE made the worlds; Who being the brightness of HIS glory, and the express image of HIS PERSON, and upholding all things by the word of HIS power, when HE had by HIMSELF purged our sins sat down on the right hand of the Majesty on high;"

Exodus 6:2, 3. "And GOD SPAKE unto Moses, and said unto him, I am the LORD: And I appeared unto Abraham, unto Isaac, and unto Jacob, by the name of GOD Almighty, But by my name JEHOVAH was I not known unto them."

P. 284. L. 13. "Can the infinite dwell in the finite?"

If the Bible is true it can. The incarnate Christ lived in the fleshly body thirty-three years.

Heb. 2: 14, 17. "Forasmuch then as the children are partakers of flesh and blood, he also likewise took part of the same; that through death he might destroy him that had the power of death, that is, the devil; Wherefore in all things it behooved Him to be made like unto His brethren, that He might be a merciful and faithful high priest in things pertaining to God, to make reconciliation for the sins of the people."

P. 256. L. 9. "THE THEORY OF THREE PERSONS in one God, (that is personal Trinity or Tri-unity) SUGGESTS POLYTHEISM, rather than the one ever present I am."

That is what Mrs. Eddy says, but the Bible does not teach this; So she must be wrong. Jesus said before He went away,

John 14: 10, 16, 17, 26. "Believest thou not that I am in the Father, and the Father IN me? the words that I speak unto you, I speak not of myself; but the Father that dwelleth IN me, he doeth the works. And I will pray the Father and He shall give you another Comforter, that He may abide with you forever; Even the Spirit of truth: whom the world cannot receive, because it seeth him not, neither knoweth Him: but ye know Him; for he dwelleth with you, and shall be in you. But the Comforter, which is the Holy

Ghost whom the Father will send in my name." 1 John 5:7
"For there are three that bear record in Heaven, the Father, the Word, (or Christ) and the Holy Ghost: and THESE THREE ARE ONE."

Now get Mrs. Eddy's definition of the Trinity.

P. 331. L. 26. "Life, Truth, and Love constitute the triune Person called God. God the FATHER-MOTHER; CHRIST the SPIRITUAL IDEA of SONSHIP; DIVINE SCIENCE or the Holy Comforter. These three express in divine Science the threefold, essential nature of the infinite."

Take the testimony of the Bible here, and you will see that the Bible teaches something very different from the statement above.

1 John 5:7. "For there are three that bear record in Heaven, the FATHER, the WORD, (meaning Christ) and the Holy Ghost: and these three ARE ONE."

This does not say that Life, Truth and love constitute the triune God, but that GOD, JESUS CHRIST, and THE HOLY GHOST constitute the triune God.

John 14:26. "But the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send in my name."

Notice the persons mentioned here. COMFORTER, FATHER, SON. (My name)

Mrs. Eddy's God is LIFE, TRUTH, LOVE. Her Christ is a SPIRITUAL IDEA. Her Holy Comforter, is Divine Science,—She means Christian Science, and yet she says, "She takes the inspired word of God as her sufficient guide to eternal life." Here you see how she takes it. Mrs. Eddy's God, in spite of all her claims, is not the God of the Bible. By no reasoning, logic, or common sense can Mrs. Eddy's definition of God be reconciled with the Bible.

Soul is not God. Principle is not God. Life and Truth are not God. An attribute of a person is not the person, any more than a building is the builder.

Christian Science takes away a personal God.

The Bible reveals a personal God.

The God of Christian Science is not to be found in the Bible.

The God of the Bible is not to be found in Christian Science.

Which do you accept. To choose one, is to reject the other.

CHAPTER II JESUS CHRIST

P. 29. L. 32, "Jesus was the offspring of Mary's self-conscious communion with God."

This is almost, if not altogether BLASPHEMY. When the Bible says in Luke 1: 30, 31, 35, "And the Angel said unto her. Fear not Mary: For thou hast found favor with God: And, behold, thou shalt conceive in thy womb, and bring forth a SON, and shall call his name JESUS.—And the Angel answered and said unto her, The Holy Ghost shall come upon thee, and the power of the Highest shall overshadow thee; therefore also that Holy thing which shall be born of thee shall be called the Son of God."

Math. 1: 20, "But while he thought on these things, behold, the angel of the Lord appeared unto him in a dream, saying, Joseph, thou son of David, fear not to take unto thee Mary thy wife; for that which is CONCEIVED IN HER IS OF THE HOLY GHOST." John 1: 14, "And the Word (Christ) was made FLESH, and dwelt among us, the only BEGOTTEN OF THE FATHER."

P. 29. L. 17, "The Virgin mother CONCEIVED THE IDEA OF GOD, and gave to HER IDEAL, the name of Jesus."

According to Mrs. Eddy Jesus Christ is only an IDEA, but according to the Scripture, Jesus Christ is a PERSON, BORN of the VIRGIN MARY, CONCEIVED by the HOLY GHOST.

Math. 1: 20, "The angel of the Lord appeared unto him in a dream, saying, Joseph, thou son of David, fear not to take unto thee Mary thy wife; for that which is CONCEIVED in her is of the HOLY GHOST."

Luke 2: 7, "And she brought forth her first BORN and wrapped HIM in swaddling clothes, and laid HIM in a manger."

P. 29. L. 26, "The Christ dwelt forever AN IDEA in

the bosom of God, the divine principle of the man Jesus, and the WOMAN PERCEIVED THIS SPIRITUAL IDEA, though at first faintly developed."

The Christ never was an IDEA, and never dwelt as such in the bosom of God, for the Scripture declares He was a PERSON. BORN into the WORLD.

Luke 2: 7, "And she brought forth her first BORN SON."

Luke 1: 80, "And the CHILD GREW."

Luke 2: 40, "And the CHILD GREW, and waxed strong in spirit, filled with wisdom; and the grace of God was upon HIM."

1 Tim. 2: 5, "For there is one God, and ONE mediator between God and man, the MAN CHRIST Jesus."

P. 315. L. 29, "Jesus wearing in part a human form (that is, as it seemed to mortal view)."

According to Christian Science, Jesus did not really EXIST in the flesh. He only "seemed" to have a material body. But the Scripture teaches otherwise.

Isa. 9: 6, "Unto us a CHILD is BORN, unto us a SON is given, and the government shall be upon His shoulders, and HIS name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor, The Mighty God."

Heb. 1:16. "For verily He took not on Him the nature of angels; but He took on Him the seed of Abraham."

1 Tim. 2:15, "The MAN Christ Jesus."

John 1: 14, "And the Word (Christ) was made FLESH."

74th Edition, P. 229, "Christ was INCORPORAL, whereas Jesus was a corporeal or bodily existence."

The Bible says, in Luke 2: 26, "And it was revealed unto him (to Simeon) by the Holy Ghost, that he should not see death, before he had SEEN the Lord's "CHRIST." How could Simeon have seen him, if he was INCORPORAL?

Math. 26: 67, 68, "Then they did spit in HIS FACE, and BUFFETED Him; and others SMOTE HIM with the palms of their hands, Saying, Phophecy unto us, Thou Christ, who is he that SMOTE THEE."

They SPIT in Christ's face, They BUFFETED the CHRIST, They SMOTE the CHRIST; They talked to the CHRIST. Could language be stronger to prove His CORPOREAL or BODILY existence?

But again, Rom. 7: 4, "Ye are become dead to the law by THE BODY OF CHRIIST."

74th Edition, P. 231, "A portion of God could not enter corporeal mortal man, neither could His fulness be reflected by him,—God can only be reflected by spiritual, incorporeal man."

The Bible says that Jesus while on earth was MORTAL man. He Himself said, John 14: 9, "He that hath SEEN Me hath seen the Father."

Isa. 9: 5, "Unto us a CHILD is born, unto us a SON is given, and His Name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor, The Mighty God."

Here we have a CHILD BORN, and this CHILD is the MIGHTY GOD.

Col. 2: 9, "In Him dwelleth ALL THE FULNESS of the GODHEAD BODILY."

2 Cor. 5: 19, "GOD WAS IN CHRIST."

P. 333. L. 32, "By these sayings Jesus meant, not that the human Jesus WAS OR IS ETERNAL, but that THE DIVINE IDEA OR CHRIST WAS AND IS SO and therefore antedated Abraham; NOT THAT THE CORPOREAL JESUS WAS ONE WITH THE FATHER. God was greater, infinitely greater, than the fleshly Jesus."

Christian Science robs Jesus of His Deity. But the Bible is very clear here, and its testimony must be taken.

John 10: 30, "I and my FATHER ARE ONE."

John 5: 18, "The Jews sought to kill Jesus because He made "HIMSELF" EQUAL WITH GOD."

John 14 :9, "He that hath seen me, hath seen the Father."

Phil. 2: 5, 6, "Let this mind be in you, which was also in Christ Jesus: Who, being in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be EQUAL WITH GOD."

74th, Edition, P. 84, "The spiritual Christ was INFALLIBLE; Jesus, as material manhood, WAS NOT THE CHRIST."

The Bible says Jesus WAS THE CHRIST.

Matt. 1: 16, "Jesus, WHO IS CALLED CHRIST."

Acts 17: 2, 3, "Paul, as his manner was, went in unto them and three Sabbath days reasoned with them out of the Scriptures, opening and alleging, that Christ must needs have suffered, and risen again from the dead; and that THIS JESUS, whom I preach unto you, IS CHRIST."

P. 334. L. 10, "The INVISIBLE CHRIST was imperceptible to the so-called personal sense, whereas JESUS WAS A BODILY EXISTENCE. This dual personality, continued

until the Master's ascension, when the human,—material concept,—or JESUS DISAPPEARED, while the spiritual self, or CHRIST, CONTINUED in the eternal order of divine science.

Let us take the above quotation and compare it with the Scripture, and you will see that the Scripture does not teach this at all.

"The INVISIBLE CHRIST," Christ was VISIBLE because He had a BODY.

Heb. 10: 5, "Sacrifice and offering thou wouldest not, but a BODY HAST thou prepared me."

Rom. 7: 4, "Ye also are become dead to the law by the BODY OF CHRIST."

1 Peter 2: 24. "Who his own self bare our sins in His OWN BODY on the tree."

The statement above makes a distinction between Jesus and Christ. It makes Jesus the HUMAN MAN; Christ THE divine IDEA. But the Scripture teaches that this is not true.

1 John 2: 1, "We have an advocate with the Father, JESUS CHRIST the righteous."

Matt. 1: 16, "JESUS, WHO IS CALLED THE CHRIST."

Acts 18: 5, "Paul was pressed in the spirit, and testified to the Jews that JESUS WAS CHRIST."

Acts 18: 28, "For he mightily convinced the Jews, and that publickly, shewing by the Scripture that JESUS WAS CHRIST."

According to the quotation above, "Jesus disappeared" at his death, and ceased to exist, Whereas "Christ continued to exist." The Bible teaches that Jesus Christ went to the Father.

Acts 7: 55, "Stephen, being full of the Holy Ghost, looked steadfastly into Heaven, and saw the glory of God, and JESUS STANDING on the right hand of God."

Heb. 4: 14, "Seeing then that we have a great high priest, that is passed into the heavens, JESUS the Son of God."

P. 316. L. 19, "Jesus demonstrated Christ; he proved that Christ is the divine idea of God,—the Holy Ghost, or Comforter."

Christ was not the HOLY GHOST. He said to His disciples, John 16: 7, "For if I go not away; the Comforter will not come unto you; but if I depart, I will send Him unto you."

John 14: 16, "And I will pray the Father, and He shall give you another COMFORTER, that he may abide with you forever."

John 15: 26, "But when the COMFORTER IS COME, whom I WILL SEND UNTO YOU FROM THE FATHER,— HE SHALL TESTIFY OF ME."

P. 30. L. 5, "Born of a woman, Jesus' advent in the flesh partook partly of Mary's earthly condition, although He was endowed with the Christ, the divine Spirit, without measure."

The Scripture teaches that Jesus was not ENDOWED with the Christ, but Jesus was the Christ.

1 Tim. 2: 15, "The Man CHRIST JESUS."

Matt. 1: 16, "JESUS, who is CALLED CHRIST."

Acts 18: 5, "Paul was pressed in the spirit, and testified to the Jews that Jesus WAS CHRIST."

Acts 17:3, "That this Jesus whom I preach unto you, IS CHRIST."

P. 473. L. 12, "Jesus is the name of the man who, more than all other men, has PRESENTED CHRIST, the true idea of God, healing the sick and sinning and destroying the power of death. JESUS IS THE HUMAN MAN, and CHRIST IS THE DIVINE IDEA, hence the duality of Jesus Christ."

Here she contradicts the Scripture, which teaches very distinctly that JESUS CHRIST is the ONE SAME person, and not two as Mrs. Eddy says. Hear the testimony of the Scripture.

1 Cor. 2: 2, "For I determined not to know any thing among you, save JESUS CHRIST, and him crucified."

Heb. 10: 10, "By the which will we are sanctified through the offering of the BODY OF JESUS CHRIST."

Again, Christ is not "the DIVINE IDEA", but a person.

1 Tim. 2: 15, "The MAN CHRIST JESUS."

1 John 2: 22, "Who is a liar but he that DENIETH that JESUS IS CHRIST? He is anti-Christ, that denieth the Father and the Son."

74th edition, (Index) P. 13. "Jesus is the WAY-SHOWER."

Jesus tells us Himself that He is not the WAY-SHOWER, but the WAY.

John 14: 6, "Jesus saith unto him, I AM THE WAY." Not the way-shower, but the way.

74th, Edition, P. 356, "His consummate example was

for the salvation of us all, but ONLY THROUGH doing the healing works which He did.

The Bible teaches that Salvation is NOT OBTAINED by works.

Eph. 2: 8, 9, "By grace are ye saved THROUGH FAITH; and that NOT OF YOURSELVES; it is the GIFT OF GOD. NOT BY WORKS, lest any man should boast."

Titus 3: 5, "NOT BY WORKS OF RIGHTEOUSNESS which we have done."

74th, Edition, P. 358, "At the time when Jesus felt our infirmities, He had not conquered all the beliefs of the flesh, or His sense of material life, nor had He risen to His final demonstration of spiritual power."

The Bible says, in John 1: 14, "The word was made flesh, and dwelt among us, (and we beheld His glory, the glory as of the only begotten of the Father) FULL OF GRACE AND TRUTH." If He was full of TRUTH, there is no place for FALSE BELIEF, as Mrs. Eddy says Jesus was.

Luke 1: 35, "That holy thing which shall be born of thee shall be called THE SON OF GOD." If Jesus was the Son of God, how then could He have FALSE BELIEF? See how her statement is proved to be untrue.

John 1: 17, "Grace and TRUTH CAME BY JESUS CHRIST."

74th, Edition, P. 84, "Jesus IS NOT THE CHRIST."

1 Tim. 2: 15, "The Man CHRIST JESUS."

Acts 18: 5, "Paul was pressed in the spirit, and testified to the Jews that JESUS WAS CHRIST."

1 John 2: 22, "Who is a liar but he that DENIETH THAT JESUS IS THE CHRIST."

Every statement that Mrs. Eddy, and Christian Science makes, denies that JESUS IS THE CHRIST. And the Scripture speaks in no uncertain language about such. The issue is sharply drawn here. If you accept the teaching of Christian Science, you REJECT the BIBLE. If you accept the teaching of the BIBLE, you reject Christian Science. You CANNOT believe them both. Which will it be?

74th Edition, (Index) P. 587, "His (Christ's) second coming is Christian Science."

The Bible says the second coming of Christ, is the coming of HIMSELF.

1 Thes. 4: 16, "The LORD HIMSELF, shall descend from heaven."

This does not say, that "Christian Science shall descend

from heaven," but the LORD HIMSELF.

**P. 291. L. 13, "HEAVEN IS NOT A LOCALITY BUT
A DIVINE STATE OF MIND."**

According to Christian Science, the Scripture would read. "The Lord Himself shall descend from a DIVINE STATE OF MIND," or more correctly, "Christian Science shall descend from a divine state of mind."

Again, Rev. 1: 7, "He cometh with clouds." But Mrs. Eddy says, "**Clouds are evil thoughts.**" Then this should read, "**Behold; he cometh with EVIL THOUGHTS.**" Again, Zach. 14: 4, "And His feet shall STAND IN THAT DAY, UPON THE mount of Olives." He has feet. Has Christian Science feet? His feet shall stand upon the MOUNT of OLIVES. Christian Science feet stood in Boston. Mount of Olives is in the East before Jerusalem; Boston, is on the Boston harbor, Mass. Again, Zach. 13: 6, "And one shall say unto him, What are these wounds in thine hands? Then he shall answer, Those with which I was wounded in the house of my friends." They will see HANDS. They will see WOUNDS in his hands. They will ask about those wounds, and He will tell them of whom He received them. The testimony of the Bible is that the second coming of Christ, is PERSONAL, VISIBLE, and CORPOREAL, and cannot be Christian Science.

CHAPTER III

THE HOLY SPIRIT

P. 55. L. 27, "In the words of St. John; 'He shall give you another comforter, that he may abide with you forever,' THIS COMFORTER I UNDERSTAND TO BE DIVINE SCIENCE."

We shall prove by the Scripture that the COFFORTER is not divine Science. First. The Holy Spirit or Comforter TESTIFIES OF CHRIST. John 15: 26, "But when the Comforter is come, whom I will send unto you from the Father, even the Spirit of truth, which procedeth from the Father, HE SHALL TESTIFY OF ME," while Christian Science DENIES the Christ. .."P. 316. L. 19, "**Christ is the divine IDEA OF GOD.**" Second, The Holy Spirit, or Comforter teaches the PERSONALITY of Christ, Rom. 7: 4, "Ye also are become dead to the law by the BODY OF CHRIST." 1 Pet. 2: 24, "Who His own self bear our sins in His own BODY on THE TREE." John 1: 34, "And I saw, and bear record that this (Jesus) is THE SON OF GOD." Christian Science denies the PERSONALITY of Jesus Christ, and teaches that He is only an IDEA. P. 29. L. 26, "**The CHRIST dwelt FOREVER AN IDEA in the bosom of the Father,**" P. 316. L. 19, "**Jesus proved that Christ is the DIVINE IDEA OF GOD.**" The Scripture proves these statements of Mrs. Eddy to be untrue. Third, The Holy Spirit or Comforter comes to CONVICT OF SIN, John 16: 8, "And when He is come, He will CONVICT the world of sin." Christian Science, says there is no sin, P. 447. L. 22, "**THERE IS NO SIN.**" According to Christian Science, the Holy Spirit came to do some thing that cannot be done, for if there IS NO SIN, how could the Holy Spirit CONVICT OF SIN. Fourth, The Holy Spirit or Comforter, never speaks of Himself, John 16: 13, "Howbeit when he the spirit of truth is come, he will guide you into all truth; for he shall NOT SPEAK OF HIMSELF, but whatsoever he

shall hear, that shall he speak;" while Christian Science speaks of nothing but itself. If you have ever attended one of their mid-week meetings, and listened to their testimonies, they are all to the wonder workings of Christian Science. Seldom, if ever do you hear the name of Christ Jesus mentioned in a Christian Science meeting. If the statement, **P. 127. L. 29, "SCIENCE IS THE COMFORTER,"** is true, according to the Scripture, it would NEVER SPEAK OF ITSELF. John 16: 13, "for He SHALL NOT SPEAK OF HIMSELF."

P. 271. L. 20, "Our Master said, 'But the Comforter shall teach you all things,' WHEN THE SCIENCE OF CHRISTIANITY APPEARS, it SHALL LEAD YOU INTO ALL TRUTH."

The Bible does not agree with this statement, but says, in John 14: 26, "But the COMFORTER, which is the HOLY GHOST—He shall teach you all things." Here the Bible plainly declares that the Comforter IS THE HOLY GHOST, and that this HOLY GHOST WILL TEACH ALL THINGS, and not "SCIENCE OF CHRISTIANITY," as taught by Mrs. Eddy. The Holy Spirit, or Comforter is sent from the Father, (God) John 15: 26, "Whom I will send unto you from the Father," said Jesus. Christian Science teaches that God is only a principle, **P. 331. L. 18, "God is DIVINE PRINCIPLE."** How could Jesus ascend to "principle?" and has "PRINCIPLE" the Comforter? If not, how then could Jesus send him from principle? You will notice that the "comforter of Christian Science" comes from "principle" and testifies of "IDEA." But the Comforter of the Christian and the Bible comes from GOD, THE FATHER, and testifies of Christ. John 15: 26, "But when the Comforter is come, whom I will send unto you from the Father,—he shall testify of me."

P. 127. L. 29, "SCIENCE IS THE COMFORTER WHICH leads into all truth."

Science is not the comforter, as we have shown by the Scripture.

P. 562. L. 1. "John saw in those days the spiritual IDEA as the Messiah, who would baptize with the HOLY GHOST, —DIVINE SCIENCE."

How any honest person can read the above quotation into Scripture, is beyond all reach of reason. Hear what the Scripture says.

John 1: 29, "The next day John SEETH JESUS coming

unto him, and saith, Behold the LAMB OF GOD, which taketh away the sin of the world."

John SAW JESUS. Can you SEE an IDEA? JESUS WAS WALKING. Can an IDEA WALK? John says JESUS was THE LAMB OF GOD, not a SPIRITUAL IDEA.

P. 84. L. 28, "ALL WE CORRECTLY KNOW OF SPIRIT comes from God, and is LEARNED THROUGH CHRIST AND CHRISTIAN SCIENCE."

If all we CORRECTLY know of SPIRIT, is learned through CHRIST and Christian Science, Then the testimony of Christ is final, for the Spirit never SPEAKS of Himself, John 16: 13, "Howbeit, when he the Spirit of truth is come, he will guide you into all truth; for HE SHALL NOT SPEAK OF HIMSELF." The testimony of Christ ALWAYS represents the Holy Spirit as a PERSON, and therefore cannot be Christian Science. John 14: 16, 17, 26. "And I will pray the Father, and He shall give you another Comforter, that HE may abide with you forever. Even the Spirit of Truth; whom the world cannot receive, because it seeth HIM not, neither knoweth HIM; but ye know HIM for HE dwelleth with you, and shall be in you, But the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send in my name, He shall teach you all things, and bring all things to your remembrance, whatsoever I have said unto you."

P. 46. L. 30, "His students THEN RECEIVED THE HOLY GHOST. By this is meant,—they were roused to an ENLARGED UNDERSTANDING OF DIVINE SCIENCE."

The Bible does not say, "they were roused to an enlarged understanding of divine science." Mrs. Eddy says this, and this CANNOT be found in the Bible. The Bible says, Acts. 1: 8, "Ye SHALL RECEIVE POWER, after that the Holy Ghost is come upon you; and ye shall be WITNESSES UNTO ME." They received POWER, POWER to WITNESS, and TESTIFY OF CHRIST, and not, "an ENLARGED UNDERSTANDING OF DIVINE SCIENCE," So this quotation is not true.

Miscellanies Writings 1897, P. 55, "There may be those who, having learned the power of unspoken thought, use it rather to harm than to heal, and are using that power against Christian Scientists. This great sin is the sin against the Holy Ghost spoken of in Matt. 12: 31, 32."

Mrs. Eddy would make those who oppose her, and her system of teaching, to be guilty of committing the unpardonable sin. Never before has sinful human being, more

flagrantly deified itself, than here making her teaching, the work of the Holy Ghost. But surely no one will be alarmed. Just remember that Mrs. Eddy has said, **THERE IS NO SIN**, and that, "**God did not make man capable of sin**," so there is no danger after all.

P. 565. L. 18, "This immaculate idea, **REPRESENTED FIRST BY MAN**, and according to the Revelator, **LAST BY WOMAN**, will baptize with fire."

Here she means to teach, that SHE IS THE FEMININE representative of the spiritual IDEA, (which she says is Christ) That she will baptize with the Holy Ghost. Can sin's delusion lead unregenerated human beings farther than this? Here she ascribes the work of the Holy Spirit to herself. If this is not blasphemy, will Christian Science tell us what it is?

CHAPTER IV MATTER

Miscellanies, P. 21, "My first plank in the platform of Christian Science is as follows; THERE IS NO SUBSTANCE IN MATTER;"

The Bible contradicts this statement.

Gen. 1: 1, "In the beginning God CREATED the HEAVEN and the EARTH."

The First verse of the Bible contradicts the first plank of Christian Science.

Rudimental Science, P. 14, "THERE IS NO MATTER."

Gen. 1: 10, "And God called the dry LAND EARTH."

If there is "no matter" then God was deceived, and named something that does not exist.

P. 249, L. 12. "GOD IS NOT THE author of matter."

The Bible says He is. Gen 1: 1, "In the beginning GOD CREATED the HEAVEN and the EARTH."

P. 335. L. 8, "Spirit never created matter."

Something was made, who made it? The Bible says God made it.

Gen. 1: 1, "In the beginning God CREATED the HEAVEN and the EARTH."

Gen. 1: 7, "And God made the FIRMAMENT."

Gen. 1: 27, "So God CREATED man in His own image."

74th Edition, P. 39, "The FIRST IDOLATRY was faith in matter."

The FIRST naming of matter was by God Himself, Gen. 1: 8, "And GOD CALLED the dry land EARTH." You will see that Mrs. Eddy's statement makes God an IDOLATER.

P. 504. L. 3, "Gen. 5, And God called the light day."

P. 504. L. 14. "Was not this a revelation instead of a creation?"

In Gen. 1: 3, we read, "And God said, Let there be

light; and there was light." The Bible says He named something which he made, and that something was LIGHT.

74th Edition, P. 201, "Gender is a quality of MIND, not of MATTER."

The Bible must be mistaken then, for in Gen. 1: 27, "So God created man in His own image, in the image of God CREATED HE him; MALE and FEMALE created He them."

P. 544. L. 11, "The notion of a MATERIAL UNIVERSE is utterly opposed to the theory of man as evolved from mind."

We believe that "the notion of material universe is utterly opposed to, the theory of man as evolved from mind," For man was never "evolved from mind," but was CREATED by God, Gen. 1: 27, "So God CREATED man." but the belief of a material universe IS IN PERFECT HARMONY WITH THE BIBLE, because the Bible teaches this, Gen. 1: 1, "In the begining God Created the heaven and the earth," And the earth is here. Gen. 1: 3. "And God said, Let there be light." and we have the light. Gen. 1: 7, "And God made the firmament," and we have the firmament. Gen. 1: 9, "And God said, Let the waters under the heavens be gathered together into one place, and let the dry land appear." And we have the dry land, and we have the seas. Gen. 1: 16, "And God made two great lights; the greater light to rule the day, and the lesser light to rule the night," and we have the sun and moon.

Now which do you believe, the Bible account of creation, or Mrs. Eddy's plain contradiction of the Bible?

P. 545. L. 21, "The translators of the record of scientific creation entertained a false sense of being. They believed in the existence of matter, its propagation and power."

The Bible teaches there is matter. Gen. 1: 1, "In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth."

If God did not create it, who did?

Gen. 1: 7, "And God made the firmament."

If God did not make the firmament, who did?

Gen. 1: 16, "And God made two great lights."

If God did not make the sun and moon, who did?

Gen. 1: 21, "And God created great whales, and every living creature that moveth, which the waters brought forth abundantly, after their kind, and every winged fowl after his kind."

If God did not create these living things, who did?

Gen. 1: 25, "And God made the beasts of the earth after his kind, and cattle after their kind, and every thing that creepeth upon the earth after his kind."

If God did not create the beasts of the earth, the cattle, and every creeping thing, who did?

Gen. 1: 27, "So God created man in his own image."

If God did not create man, then who did?

Will Mrs. Eddy come to the front now and tell us where these things came from, for you see here that her teaching contradicts the Bible, which says, GOD DID NOT CREATE MATTER.

The teaching of this false prophetess is that God did not Create the world as the Bible says He DID, in Gen. 1: 1, and in the following verses His creation is described, as the EARTH, the HEAVENS, the SEA, the SUN and MOON and STARS, the FISH of the sea, the BEASTS of the forest, the FOWLS of the air, the green HERBS, the TREES of fruit, and finally MAN. But the author of Christian Science in the light of this Bible account and hundreds of other passages in Scripture, declares that, "**God DID NOT CREATE MATTER, that matter is an illusion of mortal mind,**" Then if it is an illusion of the mortal mind, then mortal mind exists, for there could not be an illusion of a thing that does not exist, but she also declares that the, "**MORTAL, MIND IS AN ILLUSION.**" If God did not create the mortal mind, who did? You will see here that Mrs. Eddy not only contradicts herself, but the Bible, and yet she declares, "The BIBLE IS MY SUFFICIENT GUIDE."

CHAPTER V MAN

P. 68. L. 32. "MAN NOT OF THE EARTH earthly."

This does not agree with the Bible.

1 Cor. 15: 47, "The first man is of the EARTH, EARTHLY."

Gen. 2: 7, "And the Lord God FORMED MAN OF THE DUST OF THE GROUND."

74th Edition, P. 140, "Man is neither YOUNG or OLD, he has NEITHER BIRTH nor DEATH."

Hear the Scripture. Psm. 37: 25, "I have been YOUNG, and now am OLD."

P. 244. L. 14, "IF MAN WERE DUST in his earliest stage of his existence, we might admit the hypotheses that he return eventually to his primitive condition; but man was never more nor less than man."

Hear what God says in the Bible. Gen. 2: 7, "And the Lord God FORMED MAN out of the DUST of the GROUND, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life."

Eccles. 3: 20, "ALL ARE of the DUST, and ALL turn to DUST AGAIN."

P. 68. L. 32. "Man is CO-EXISTENT with God."

Man is not co-existent with God. Gen. 1: 27, "God CREATED MAN, male and female CREATED HE them."

Job 33: 4, "The SPIRIT of GOD hath MADE ME, and the BREATH of the Almighty hath given me life."

Deut. 4: 32, "For ask now of the days that are passed, which were before thee, since the day that God CREATED MAN upon the earth."

James 4: 14, "For what is your life? It is even a vapor, that appeareth for a little time, and then VANISHETH AWAY."

Christian Science teaches that "**Man is CO-EXISTENT with God, and ETERNAL,**" but the Bible says God CREATED MAN, and that man VANISHES AWAY.

Miscellanies, P. 57, "Man ORIGINATED not from DUST."

The Bible says He did. Gen. 2: 7, "And the Lord God FORMED MAN of the DUST of the Ground."

Deut. 4: 32, "For ask now of the days that are passed, which were before thee, since the day that God CREATED MAN upon the earth."

1 Cor. 15: 47, "The first man is of the earth, earthly." Literally "out of the earth."

P. 249. L. 20. "You say, I dreamed last night." What a mistake! THE I IS SPIRIT, God never slumbers."

Here she implies that MAN is GOD. "I DREAMED, I IS SPIRIT. I IS GOD." Let the Bible testify. "Job 33: 15, "In a DREAM, in a vision of the Night, when deep SLEEP falleth upon me, in slumbering upon my bed."

74th Edition. P. 459. "Man is not made up of BRAINS, BLOOD, BONES AND OTHER MATERIAL ELEMENTS."

Then the Bible is not true. Lev. 17: 11, "For the LIFE of the FLESH is in the BLOOD."

Gen. 2: 23, "And Adam said, This now BONE of my BONE and FLESH of MY FLESH."

P. 244. L. 26, "Man does not pass from the MORTAL to the IMMORTAL."

The Bible says they do. 1 Cor. 15: 44, "There is a natural body, and there is a Spiritual body." Verse 53, "For this incorruptible must put on incorruption, and this MORTAL must put on IMMORTALITY."

74th Edition P. 142. "Never RECORD ages,—Timetables of BIRTHS and DEATH are so many conspiracies."

Here Christian Science indict the Bible as a CONSPIRATOR, for the Bible does this very thing. "Adam lived..... nine hundred and thirty years: and he DIED." Gen. 5: 5.

Psm. 90: 10, "The days of our years are threescore years and ten; and if by reason of strength they be fourscore years, yet is there strength labour and sorrow: for it is soon cut off, and we fly away."

P. 249. L. 20. "Man is the reflection of Soul, he is the direct opposite of material sensation, and there is but ONE EGO."

Mrs. Eddy says, MAN IS THE REFLECTION OF SOUL, the Bible says man IS A LIVING SOUL. Gen. 2: 7, "Man became a LIVING SOUL."

She also says, that "man is the direct opposite of material," but this is not what the Bible says. Gen. 2:7, "The

Lord God formed man of the DUST of the GROUND."

P. 257. L. 1. "If mind is within and without all things, then all is MIND; and this definition is scientific."

Then man is God, so is every thing else God, for she says there is but ONE EGO. Now notice how she contradicts her foregoing statements.

P. 250 L. 12. "Man is not God."

We all believe this, but how about her two former statements? When she refers to SOUL or MIND, she means GOD. If all is MIND, and there is but ONE EGO, then man and God must be identical, according to her reasoning, but not so according to the Bible. Let it speak here.

Gen. 1: 27, "So God CREATED man in His own image, in the image of God CREATED He him, male and female CREATED He them."

Gen. 2: 7, "And the Lord God FORMED man out of the dust of the ground."

Job. 33: 4, "The Spirit of God hath MADE me, and the breath of the Almighty hath given me life."

P. 289. L. 3, "Man is not the OFFSPRING of flesh."

Gen. 1: 27, 28, "So God created man in His own image, in the image of God created He him; male and female,..... and God blessed them, and God said unto them, Be fruitful and MULTIPLY and replenish the earth."

John 3: 6, "That which is born of the FLESH IS FLESH."

P. 336. L. 10. "Immortal man is CO-EXISTENT and ETERNAL with God."

Before we answer this statement, get another quotation from Mrs. Eddy.

P. 497. L. 3. "As adherents of the truth, we take the Inspired Word of the Bible as our sufficient guide to eternal life."

Please keep the above quotation in mind, and then hear her say. "Man is CO-EXISTENT with God." Then God did not CREATE man as the Bible says He did. Gen. 1: 27, "God CREATED MAN in His own image." How are you going to harmonize these statements?

P. 644. L. 1, GOD IS THE ONLY BEING, and HIS REFLECTION is man and the universe."

Here again note the contradiction. Christian Science says, "Man is the reflection of God." The Bible says, Man is the CREATION of God. Mrs. Eddy's man is a REFLECTION. The Bible man "Is a CREATION." Gen. 1: 27, "So

God CREATED MAN."

P. 305. L. 27. "Because man is the reflection of his Maker, he is NOT subject to DEATH to BIRTH, GROWTH, MATURITY, DECAY."

Did you ever hear such ridiculous teaching outside Christian Science? What does the Bible say? Gen. 21: 20, "And God was with the lad, and he GREW."

Gen. 25: 27, "And the boys GREW."

Exodus, 2: 10, "And the child GREW, and she brought him to Pharaoh's daughter."

P. 336. L. 28. "God and man CO-EXISTED and are ETERNAL."

This is not true if the Bible is true. And she says, "She takes the Bible as her only guide." Her statements here would make men INCORPORAL, but the man of the Bible is not an ethereal being, but a man of FLESH and BONES. Gen. 2: 7, "And the Lord God FORMED man out of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life."

This shows that man was not CO-EXISTENT with God, but CREATED by God. It also shows that man is not an ETHEREAL being, but a CREATED being.

P. 476. L. 13. "MORTALS are not fallen children of God. THEY NEVER HAD A PERFECT STATE OF BEING, which may subsequently be regained. They were from the beginning of MORTAL HISTORY, "conceived in sin and brought forth in iniquity."

Please note the contradiction here. On P. 447. L. 22. she says, "Man cannot be a sinner because THERE is NO SIN." and here she says, "man was conceived in sin and brought forth in iniquity." Which of these shall we believe? and on P. 345. L. 13, she says, "In this volume of mine, there are no contradictory statements."

Now let me show you how she repudiates the account as given in the Bible. She says, "They never had a perfect state of being." How about God's statement. Gen. 1: 27, "God CREATED man in His own IMAGE." If Mrs. Eddy's statement is true, God did not have a PERFECT IMAGE, nor BEING.

In Gen. 1: 31, "God saw everything that He had made, and behold it was very good." Then God pronounced something "very good" which did not have a perfect being, and was brought forth in sin. Some thing wrong here.

P. 478. L. 30. "Mortal man is really a self-contradictory

phrase, for man is not mortal, neither indeed can be; man is IMMORTAL."

Again the contradiction between this and the preceding quotation, in the quotation above she said, "**Mortals were conceived in sin.**" and here she declares that MORTAL man does not exist.

Let the Bible speak here.

Job 4: 17, "Shall MORTAL man be more just than God?"

Rom. 6: 12, "Let not sin reign in your MORTAL bodies."

Rom. 8: 11, "But—He that raised up Christ from the dead shall also quicken your MORTAL bodies."

In the light of Scripture is Mrs. Eddy right when she says man is not mortal?

Eight Edition, Vol 2. P. 147, "Science,"—she means Christian Science—"decides matter of the mortal body to be **NOTHING BUT A BELIEF AN ILLUSION.**"

This does not agree with the Bible.

Rom. 6: 12, "Let not sin reign in your MORTAL bodies."

1 Cor. 15: 54, "So when this corruptible shall have put on incorruption and this MORTAL shall have put on immortality."

It might be interesting just to read the scripture as Christian Science interpretation would make it. Notice just a few here without being sacreligious, if you can.

Rom. 6: 12, "Let not sin reign in your ILLUSION body.

1 Cor. 15: 54, So when this corruptible shall have put on incorruption, and this BELIEF shall have put on AN ILLUSION.

Rom. 8: 11, But he that raised up Christ from the dead, shall also quicken your ILLUSION.

P. 345. L. 13, "In this volume of mine, there are no contradictory statements."

How are you to harmonize the statements quoted above? Saying a thing is so, does not make it so.

P. 267. L. 8. "It is generally conceded that God is Father, eternal, self-created, infinite. If this be so, then FOREVER FATHER MUST HAVE HAD CHILDREN prior to Adam. Hence man and the spiritual universe coexisted with God."

Here again she is drawing on her imagination for facts. There is not one word in the Bible teaching that God had

children such as we are before Adam was created. But remember that she says she takes the Bible as her sufficient guide.

P. 468. L. 8. "Question,—What is the scientific statement of being? Answer,—There is no life, truth, intelligence, nor substance in matter. All is infinite MIND, and it's infinite manifestation, for God is all-in-all, Spirit is God, and man is His image and likeness, Therefore MAN IS NOT MATERIAL: he is spiritual."

What saith the Scripture?

1 Cor. 15: 45, 49, "And so IT IS WRITTEN. The first man Adam was made a living Soul; the last Adam was made a quickening spirit. Howbeit that was not first which is spiritual, but that which is natural; afterward that which is spiritual. The first man is of the EARTH, EARTHY: the second man is the Lord from heaven. As is the Earthy, such are they also that are earthy, and as is the heavenly such are they also that are heavenly. And as we have BORN the image of the earthly, we shall also bear the image of the heavenly."

Here the Scripture speaks for itself, and pointedly contradicts Mrs. Eddy's statement just quoted above. Now if you accept the teaching of Christian Science, you deny the teaching of the Bible, and if you accept the teaching of the Bible, you deny Christian Science.

CHAPTER VI

ATONEMENT

P. 44. L. 28, "His disciples BELIEVED JESUS TO BE DEAD while HE was hidden in the sepulcher, WHEREAS HE WAS ALIVE."

You will notice that I am going to prove by the words of Jesus Himself, that He WAS DEAD, and that the disciples were not mistaken, but that Mrs. Eddy is teaching that which is not true.

Rev. 1: 8, "I am Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the ending, Saith the LORD, which is, which was, and which is to come." Now read, Rev. 2: 8, "And unto the Angel of the Church at Smyrna write; These things saith the FIRST and the LAST, which WAS DEAD, and is alive." Now read Rev. 1: 5, "And from Jesus Christ, who is the faithful witness, and the FIRST begotten of the DEAD." Now read, Rev. 1: 18, "I am He that liveth and WAS DEAD; and, behold I am ALIVE for evermore, Amen;" This is final is it not?

P. 42. L. 24, "Jesus LET MEN THINK THEY HAD KILLED THE body."

You will please notice the statement, "**Jesus LET MEN THINK he was dead.**" If he was not dead, and let men think He was, then He was practicing deception, and fraud, and was an arch deceiver. Mrs. Eddy maligns the character of Christ when she so represents HIM. Take the testimony of the Scripture here.

1 Cor. 15: 3, "For I delivered unto you first of all, that I also received, how that Christ DIED for our sins, according to the Scripture."

Rom. 5: 6, "For when we were yet without strength, in due time, Christ DIED for the ungodly."

Rom. 5: 8, "But God commendeth His love toward us, in that, while we were yet sinners, Christ DIED for US."

P. 46. L. 20, "Jesus, unchanged physical condition after what SEEMED TO BE DEATH."

The Bible says it was DEATH, not SEEMED TO BE DEATH.

Rom. 14: 9, "For to this end, Christ both DIED and ROSE, and revived, that He might be the Lord of the DEAD and the LIVING."

Phil. 2: 8, "And being found in fashion as a man, he humbled Himself and became obedient unto DEATH, even the DEATH OF THE CROSS."

2 Cor. 5: 15, "And that He DIED for all, that they which live, should not live unto themselves, but unto HIM WHO DIED for them."

P. 45. L. 32, "Jesus' students, not sufficiently advanced fully to understand their Master's triumph, did not perform many wonderful works until they saw Him after His crucifixion and learned THAT HE HAD NOT BEEN DEAD."

John says He was dead. John 19: 33, "But when they came to Jesus, and saw that He WAS dead already, they brake not His legs."

Paul says He was DEAD. 1 Cor. 15: 3, "—How that Christ DIED for our sins, according to the Scripture."

1 Cor. 15: 12, 20, "Now if Christ be preached that He rose from the DEAD, how say some among you that there is no resurrection from the DEAD? But if there be no resurrection of the DEAD, then is Christ not RISEN: And if Christ be not risen, then is our preaching vain, and your faith is also vain. Yea we are found false witnesses of God; because we have testified of God that He RAISED UP CHRIST; whom He raised not up, if so that the DEAD rise not. For if the DEAD rise not, then is not Christ RISEN; And if Christ be not RAISED, your faith is vain, ye are yet in your sins. Then they also which are fallen asleep in Christ are perished, But now IS CHRIST RISEN FROM THE DEAD, and become the first fruits of them that slept."

Peter believed and taught that Jesus Christ DIED on the cross.

1 Peter, 1: 3, "Blessed be the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, which according to His abundant mercy hath begotten us again unto a lively hope by the RESURRECTION of JESUS CHRIST FROM THE DEAD."

1 Peter 1: 21, "Who by Him do believe in God, that RAISED HIM UP FROM THE DEAD."

1 Peter 3: 18, "For Christ also hath once suffered for sin, the just for the unjust, that he might bring us to God, being PUT TO DEATH IN THE FLESH."

Now in the face of this array of Scripture, Mrs. Eddy says, "**Christ's disciples believed Him to be dead**," but they found out their mistake, and. "**LEARNED THAT HE HAD NOT DIED**," If the disciples learned that Jesus had not died, and continued to teach that HE HAD DIED,—as they did until their death, then they continued to deceive the people, as long as they lived; and the New Testament is misleading, for it reveals the fact of sin, and that Jesus Christ

was CRUCIFIED, DEAD, BURIED in Joseph's new tomb, and the third day He rose from the DEAD.

P. 21. L. 6. "Christians do not continue to labor and pray, expecting because of ANOTHER'S GOODNESS, SUFFERING, AND TRIUMPH, that they shall reach his harmony and reward."

P. 23. L. 23, "One kind of faith trusts one's welfare to another. Another kind of faith, understands divine love, and how to WORK OUT one's own salvation, with fear and trembling."

What saith the Scripture?

1 Peter 2: 24, "Who His own self bear OUR SINS IN HIS OWN BODY on the tree, that we being dead to sins, should live unto righteousness; by whose STRIPES YE WERE HEALED."

Isa. 53: 5. "But He was WOUNDED for our transgressions. He was BRUISED for our iniquities: the CHASTISEMENT of our peace was upon Him, and WITH HIS STRIPES WE ARE HEALED."

Eph. 2: 8, 9. "For by grace are ye SAVED THROUGH FAITH: and that not of yourselves; it is the GIFT OF GOD; not of works lest any man should boast."

Titus 3: 5, "Not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to His mercy He SAVED us."

Do you see by these numerous passages from the Bible, there is a clear line of difference; and that Christian Science plainly contradicts the Scripture? For the Bible teaches that it is only because of ANOTHER'S SUFFERING and DEATH upon the cross that this world has any hope of Heaven.

Do not forget that there is no place in Christian Science for the Atonement of Jesus Christ. According to the teaching of Mrs. Eddy, there is no necessity for the Atonement. She says. "**Man NEVER SINNED. MAN COMMITTED NO SIN.**" "**Man is INCAPABLE OF SINNING.**" These are her words. If this be true, the tragedy of Calvary was a great mistake on God's part. Do not forget that Mrs. Eddy says, "**The Bible is her only sufficient guide,**" in formulating the system of theology.

Her own words quoted in these chapters, positively deny Jesus Christ as the savior of the world, and make of none effect the DEATH of the Son of God upon the cross. The denial of the Lord that bought us, is very clearly stated in the quotations referred to.

If Peter had written the following words on purpose to describe Mrs. Eddy's religion, he could not have been more to the point.

2 Peter, 2: 1-3, "But there were false prophets also among the people, even as there shall be false teachers among you, who privily shall bring in damnable heresies, even DENYING THE LORD that bought them, and bring upon themselves swift destruction,—And many shall follow their pernicious ways; by reason of whom the way of truth shall BE EVIL SPOKEN OF.—And through covetousness shall they with feigned words make merchandise of you."

8th Edition, Vol 2. P. 143, "JESUS NEVER RANSOM-ED MAN BY PAYING THE DEBT THAT SIN INCURRED; Whoever sins must suffer."

This is a strange statement indeed, for she says, **P. 447. L. 22, "THERE IS NO SIN,"** Some contradiction here, and on page 345, L. 13, she says, "**In this volume of mine, there are no contradictory statements.**" A right minded person could not believe her statements. But let the Scripture speak here.

Eph. 1: 7, "In whom we HAVE REDEMPTION THROUGH HIS BLOOD, the FORGIVENESS of SINS."

Col. 1, 14, "In whom we HAVE REDEMPTION THROUGH HIS BLOOD, even the FORGIVENESS of SINS."

P. 23, L. 3, "ONE SACRIFICE HOWEVER GREAT, IS INSUFFICIENT TO PAY THE DEBT OF SIN. That God's wrath should be vented upon His Son, is divinely unnatural. Such a theory is man-made. ..The scientific theory is, that suffering is an error of sinful sense which truth destroys, and that eventually both sin and suffering will fall at the feet of everlasting love."

On **P. 447 L. 22**, she says, "**THERE IS NO SIN.**" How can it fall at the feet of love?

Every statement in the Scripture concerning the sacrifice of Christ, is a denial of the quotation just made. Hear the Scripture.

Heb. 7: 27, "Who needeth not daily, as those high priests, to offer up sacrifice, first for his own sins, then for the people; for this he DID ONCE, when he offered himself."

Heb. 9: 12, 14, 26, 28, "Neither by the blood of goats and calves, but by HIS OWN BLOOD He entered in ONCE into the Holy place, having obtained ETERNAL REDEMPTION for us.—How much more SHALL THE BLOOD OF

CHRIST, who through the eternal Spirit offered Himself without spot unto God, purge your conscience from dead works to serve the living God.—Now ONCE in the end of the world hath he appeared TO PUT AWAY SIN by the SACRIFICE OF HIMSELF.—So Christ was ONCE OFFERED to bear the SINS of many.” Heb. 10: 14, “By ONE OFFERING HE HATH PERFECTED FOR EVER THEM THAT ARE SANCTIFIED.”

Now against these plain statements of the Bible, place Mrs. Eddy’s statement, “**ONE SACRIFICE** however great is insufficient to pay the debt of sin,” and all the while keep in mind that she claims that, the “**Bible is her sufficient guide.**”

P. 25. L. 6, “THE MATERIAL BLOOD OF JESUS WAS NO MORE EFFICACIOUS TO CLEANSE FROM SIN WHEN IT WAS SHED UPON THE ACCURSED TREE, THAN WHEN IT WAS FLOWING IN HIS VEINS AS HE WENT ABOUT HIS FATHER’S BUSINESS.”

There is no atoning blood in Mrs. Eddy’s plan of redemption, but there is in God’s plan. Which do you accept? To accept one, is to reject the other.

Heb. 9: 22, “R. V. And according to the law, all things are cleansed with blood, and without the SHEDDING OF BLOOD, there is NO REMISSION OF SIN.” 1 Peter 2: 24, “Who His OWN SELF BARE OUR SINS in His own body on the tree.” Col. 1: 20, “And having made peace through the BLOOD OF HIS CROSS.” Heb. 9: 13-14, “For if the blood of bulls and of goats, and the ashes of an heifer sprinkling the unclean, sanctifieth to the purifying of the flesh; How much more shall the BLOOD OF CHRIST, who through the eternal Spirit offered Himself without spot to God, purge your conscience from dead works to serve the living God.”

Rev. 5: 9, “For thou wast SLAIN, and hast REDEEMED us to God by the BLOOD.”

Unity of Good. P. 78, “In Science (Christian Science) CHRIST NEVER DIED—the fleshly Jesus seemed to die, though FLESH NEVER HAD LIFE.”

Then the Bible teaches a false-hood, and is not to be believed. Hear the Scripture here.

Rom. 5: 8, “God commendeth His love toward us, in that, while we were yet sinners, CHRIST DIED FOR US.”

1 Cor. 15: 3, “CHRIST DIED FOR OUR SINS.”

2 Cor. 5: 15, “And that HE DIED for all, that they which live should not henceforth live unto themselves, but

unto Him which DIED FOR them, and rose again."

Rom. 5: 6, "For when we were yet without strength, in due time CHRIST DIED for the ungodly."

Vol. 2. P. 119, "Sin IS NOT FORGIVEN, we cannot escape its penalty."

Remember that on P. 447. L. 22, she says, "**THERE IS NO SIN,**" here she says, "**SIN IS NOT FORGIVEN, we cannot escape its penalty.**" Which shall we believe? But let the Scripture speak here, and you will see that it does not agree with the teachings of Mrs. Eddy.

1 John 1: 9, "If we confess our sins, he is faithful and just to FORGIVE US OUR SINS."

Numbers 30: 5, "The Lord shall FORGIVE her."

Psm. 86: 5, "For thou, Lord, art good, and ready to FORGIVE; and plenteous in mercy unto all that call upon thee."

Jer. 31: 34, "For they shall all know me, from the least of them to the greatest of them, saith the Lord; for I WILL FORGIVE THEIR iniquities; and I will REMEMBER THEIR SIN NO MORE."

Math 6: 14, "—For if ye forgive men their trespasses, your heavenly Father WILL ALSO FORGIVE YOU;"

Our Lord taught that we could be FORGIVEN, when He taught his disciples to pray in Luke 11: 4, "And FORGIVE US OUR SINS."

74th Edition, P. 328, "Atonement requires constant SELF-IMMOLATION."

The Bible says we RECEIVE IT, and do not REQUIRE IT, nor ATONE for ourselves, but RECEIVE IT.

Rom. 5: 11, "We also joy in God, through our Lord Jesus Christ, by whom we have now RECEIVED the ATONEMENT."

We RECEIVE IT THROUGH OUR LORD JESUS CHRIST and in verse (10) we learn how we get the ATONEMENT.

Rom. 5: 10, "If, when we were enemies we were RECONCILED to God by THE DEATH of His Son."

The Bible says we were RECONCILED to God, not by SELF-IMMOLATION, but by THE DEATH OF HIS SON.

P. 23. L. 3, "It would be divinely unnatural for God to vent His wrath upon His Beloved Son; that such a doctrine is a theory man-made."

In the Word of God it is written.

Psm. 88: 7, "Thy wrath LIETH HARD UPON ME."

Romans 8: 32, "HE THAT SPARED NOT HIS OWN SON, BUT DELIVERED HIM UP for us all."

2 Cor. 5: 21, "For HE HATH MADE HIM TO BE SIN FOR US."

1 Peter 3: 18, "For Christ hath ONCE SUFFERED FOR sins—the JUST FOR THE UNJUST."

Lam. 1: 12, "—Wherewith the Lord HATH AFFLICTED ME IN THE DAY OF HIS FIERCE ANGER."

Isa. 53: 5-12, "He WAS WOUNDED for our transgressions, He was BRUISED for our INIQUITIES; the CHASTISEMENT of our peace was UPON HIM; and with HIS STRIPES WE ARE healed——and the Lord hath LAID ON HIM THE INIQUITIES OF US ALL."

These statements of the Scripture, show that the statement made by Mrs. Eddy is not according to the Bible, therefore not true.

The Bible not only tells us that God did VENT His wrath on His Son, it also tells us why He did it.

John 3: 16, "For God so LOVED THE world, that He GAVE HIS ONLY BEGOTTEN Son, that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have everlasting life."

P. 24 L. 20, "Does erudite theology regard the CRUCIFIXION OF JESUS chiefly as PROVIDING A READY PARDON FOR ALL SINNERS who ask for it and are willing to be forgiven? THEN WE MUST DIFFER FROM THEM."

All who believe the Bible, believe that the CRUCIFIXION of JESUS provides a ready pardon for ALL SINNERS WHO ASK for it, and are willing to be FORGIVEN, because the Bible says so.

Rom. 10: 13, "For WHOSOEVER SHALL CALL UPON THE NAME OF THE LORD, shall be SAVED."

John 3: 16, "For God so loved the world that He GAVE His only begotten Son, that WHOSOEVER believeth on Him should not perish, but have EVERLASTING life." But Mrs. Eddy says, "then we must differ from them," and you must always remember they claim to "take the Bible as their sufficient guide." Here she not only differs from them, but from the BIBLE.

We have proved every statement quoted here from Christian Science to be untrue, if the Bible is true. Now which do you accept? To accept Christian Science, you reject the Bible; To accept the Bible, is to reject Christian Science.

CHAPTER VII FORGIVENESS

P. 6. L. 1. "We cannot escape the penalty due for sin." Notice the contradictions here. On P. 447, L. 22, "THERE IS NO SIN." and in 74th, Edition, P. 459, "Man is INCAPABLE OF SIN." Then another contradiction, on P. 339. L. 28. "TO GET RID OF SIN through Science, is to DIVEST SIN of any supposed mind or matter." But in the quotation above, she says, "We cannot escape the penalty due sin."

The Scripture teaches that we can ESCAPE the penalty of sin.

1 John 3: 5. "—He (Christ) was manifested to TAKE AWAY OUR SINS."

Rev. 1: 5, "Unto Him that loved us, and WASHED US FROM OUR SINS."

Rom. 11: 27, "For this is my covenant unto them, when I SHALL TAKE AWAY ALL THEIR SINS."

Math. 1: 21, "For HE (JESUS) shall SAVE His people from their sins."

P. 10. L. 31, "Do you ask Wisdom to be merciful and not punish sin? Then you ask amiss. Without PUNISHMENT, sin would multiply."

How can a thing that does not exist be PUNISHED? and on, P. 447. L. 22, "THERE IS NO SIN." In the quotation above, when she uses the word "Wisdom," she means God. But let the Bible speak here.

PSM. 32: 5, "I said, I will CONFESS my transgressions unto the Lord; and thou FORGAVEST the INIQUITY OF MY SIN."

JER. 31: 34, "I WILL FORGIVE THEIR INIQUITY, and I will remember their SIN NO MORE."

2 CHRON. 7: 14, "If my people which are called by my name, shall humble themselves, and pray, and seek my face,

and turn from their wicked ways; then will I hear from heaven, and WILL FORGIVE THEIR SIN."

P. 5. L. 23, "Sin is forgiven only as it is destroyed by Christ."

This statement does not agree with the Scripture.

1 John 1: 9, "If we confess our sins, He is faithful and just to FORGIVE us our sins."

PSM. 85: 2, "Thou hast FORGIVEN the iniquity of the people, thou hast COVERED all their sins."

PSM. 32: 1, "Blessed is he WHOSE TRANSGRESSION IS FORGIVEN, whose SIN is covered."

ACTS 13: 38, "Be it known unto you therefore, men and brethren, that through this man is preached unto you the FORGIVENESS OF SINS."

P. 5. L. 22, "Prayer is not to be used as a confessional to cancel sin. If prayer nourishes the belief that sin is cancelled, and that man is made better merely by praying, prayer IS AN EVIL."

Does she presume to know more about this than Jesus Christ, who taught His disciples to pray, Math 6: 12, "And FORGIVE US our debts, as we FORGIVE OUR debtors."

MATH. 21: 22, "And all things whatsoever ye shall ASK IN PRAYER, believing, ye shall receive." If you ask for SIN to be cancelled, it will be done.

1 JOHN 1: 9, "If we confess our sins, He is faithful and just to forgive us our sins."

PSM. 32: 5, "I said, I will CONFESS MY TRANSGRESSION unto the Lord; and THOU FORGAVEST the INIQUITY of my sin."

P. 6. L. 7, "Calling on Him to forgive our work badly done or left undone, implies a vain supposition that we have nothing to do but to ask pardon, and that afterwards we shall be free to repeat the offence."

Mrs. Eddy cannot name one single Church that teaches any such thing as she states in the quotation above, that we ask pardon, then to be "free to repeat the offence," but we believe what the Scripture says here.

PROV. 28: 13, "Whoso CONFESSETH and FORSAKETH shall find mercy."

JOHN 1: 9, "If we confess, He will forgive."

P. 6. L. 18. "To suppose that God forgives or punishes sin according as His mercy is sought or unsought, is to misunderstand love, and make prayer the safety-valve for doing wrong."

The Bible teaches that we should PRAY and ask for FORGIVENESS, so the statement of Mrs. Eddy must be wrong.

1 John 1: 9, "If we CONFESS our SINS, He is faithful and just to FORGIVE US OUR SINS."

Psm. 35: 5, "I said, I will CONFESS MY TRANSGRESSION unto the Lord; and thou FORGAVEST THE INIQUITY of MY SIN."

2 Chron. 7: 14, "If my people which are called by my name, shall humble themselves, and PRAY, and seek my face, and turn from their wicked ways; then will I hear from heaven, and will FORGIVE THEIR SINS."

P. 36. L. 21, "It is quite IMPOSSIBLE for sinners to receive their full punishment this side of the grave, as for this world to bestow on the righteous their full reward. It is useless to suppose that the wicked can gloat over their offences to the last moment and then be suddenly pardoned and pushed into heaven."

Here is a new system of religion which teaches, "Sin is not forgiven, but must be PUNISHED on this, and the other side of the grave." And yet she dares to call it "Christian." Any teaching that does not conform to the teaching of Jesus Christ, cannot be called "Christian." And every statement of Mrs. Eddy quoted here, is a CONTRADISTION of the SCRIPTURE, But you must keep in mind she says, P. 497. L. 3, "As adherents of truth, we take the inspired word of the Bible as our sufficient guide to eternal life." I am sure that you will not believe this statement, when you compare it with the Bible.

The Bible teaches that SIN is forgiven, because the PUNISHMENT was LAID ON CHRIST. Isaiah 53: 5, "He was WOUNDED for our TRANSGRESSIONS, He WAS BRUISED for OUR INIQUITIES; the chastisement of our peace was upon Him; and WITH HIS STRIPES WE ARE HEALED."

Isaiah 1: 18, "Come now, let us reason together, saith the Lord; though your sins be as SCARLET, they shall be as WHITE AS SNOW; though they be red like crimson, they shall be as WOOL."

I am sure the reader will have trouble in harmonizing the statements of Mrs. Eddy. She says, "**THERE IS NO SIN**" then she says, "**Sin must be punished.**" Then "**We cannot escape the penalty due sin.**" then she says, "**To get rid of sin through Science, is to divest sin of any supposed**

mind or reality." and then she says, "All that we term sin is a mortal belief." then she says, "It is impossible for sinners to receive their full punishment this side of the grave." then she says, "No final judgment awaits mortals." and "There is no hell." And all the while you must keep in mind that she says, "In this volume of mine there are no contradictory statements." To the thinking person, there is nothing but contradictions.

CHAPTER VIII SOUL

P. 39. L. 10, "The educated belief THAT SOUL IS IN THE BODY causes mortals to regard death as a friend."

The Bible does not teach it as an educated belief, but as a fact.

Gen. 2: 7, "And the Lord God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a LIVING SOUL."

P. 300. L. 23. "Spirit is God, Soul; therefore Soul is not matter."

This is not true, if the Bible is true.

Gen. 2: 7, "And the Lord God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life."

P. 467. L. 17, "Science reveals Spirit, Soul AS NOT IN THE BODY, and GOD is not in man but as reflected by man. —This is a leading point in Science of Soul that Principle is not in its idea."

Spirit is NOT Soul. Soul is NOT Spirit. If Soul is Spirit in the sense in which Mrs. Eddy means, Soul would be God. Let the Bible speak here.

1 Thes. 5: 23, "—I pray God your whole Spirit and Soul and body be preserved blameless unto the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ."

James 4: 5, "—The Spirit that DWELLETH IN US."

P. 335. L. 16, "Soul and Spirit being one, God and Soul are ONE."

Soul and Spirit are NOT ONE, therefore God and Soul are not ONE.

1 Thes. 5: 23, "—I pray God your whole SPIRIT, SOUL and BODY."

Please notice the Scripture speaks of GOD, SPIRIT, SOUL, BODY as separate, and distinct, and not ONE.

Heb. 4: 12, "For the word of God is quick and powerful, and sharper than any two-edged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of SOUL and SPIRIT."

P. 288. L. 22. "Soul is SINLESS and cannot be found in the BODY."

The Scripture says Soul is NOT sinless, Ezk. 18: 4, "The Soul that SINNETH it shall die." The Bible says the Soul is IN the body. Gen. 2: 7, "The Lord God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a LIVING SOUL."

P. 71. L. 7, "Soul is synonymous with Spirit."

The Scripture does not teach this, but that Soul and Spirit are different.

1 Thes. 5: 23, "I pray God your whole SPIRIT, SOUL and body be preserved."

P. 481. L. 29, "In Science we learn that it is the material sense, not soul, which sins; and it will be found that it is the sense of sin which is lost and not a sinful Soul."

We are sure that she never learned this in the Bible, but she does not claim that she did, but in Science, for the Bible teaches the reverse of this. And you should keep in mind that on **P. 497. L. 3**, she says, "As adherents of truth, we take the inspired word of the Bible as our sufficient guide to eternal life." The material is the channel through which the real man works. Before the body puts into practice the sinful act, the Soul of man gives its consent, so God says, in Ezk. 18: 4. "The SOUL that SINNETH, IT shall die."

P. 482. L. 1, "The substitution of the word SENSE for SOUL gives the exact meaning in a majority of cases."

Let us try a few and see how they will read. Ezk. 18: 4. "The SENSE that sinneth, it shall die." Math. 16: 26, "What shall it profit a man, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own SENSE—? Or what shall he give in exchange for his—SENSE"?

Rev. 20: 4, "I saw the SENSE of them that were beheaded."

P. 482. L. 6, "The proper use of the word Soul can always be gained by substituting the word God where the deific meaning is required."

Let us try a few passages. Psm. 16: 10, "Thou wilt not leave my—GOD—in hell." Math. 10: 28, "Fear not them which kill the body, but are not able to kill the —GOD—; but rather fear him which is able to destroy both—GOD—and body in hell."

Psychologists recognize in a man a trinity of power—Physical, Spiritual, Mental; the Bible also recognizes the same thing, Body, Soul, and Spirit.

1 Thes. 5: 23, "I pray God, your whole SPIRIT, SOUL, and BODY be preserved."

CHAPTER IX REFORM

P. 285. L. 23, "By interpreting God as a corporal Savior but not as a saving PRINCIPAL, we shall CONTINUE to seek Salvation through PARDON AND NOT THROUGH REFORM."

According to the above quotation, Mrs. Eddy's Christ, or the Savior of Christian Science, is not a corporal Savior, but a "SAVING PRINCIPLE." Her Christ was never born of the Virgin Mary. Her Christ is not the Christ of the Bible, and her plan of salvation is not the one recorded in the Bible. There is no place for PARDON in her so-called religion, because there is nothing to be pardoned. Note the following statements, and we will prove this.

P. 71. L. 2. "EVIL HAS NO REALITY."

P. 330. L. 29. "EVIL IS NOTHING."

Let the Bible speak here. Our Savior is a corporal being.

Math. 1: 21, "And she shall bring forth a SON, and thou shalt call HIS name JESUS; for HE shall SAVE HIS people from their SINS."

Luke 1: 31, 32, "—Behold, thou shall conceive in thy womb, and bring forth a SON, and shalt call HIS name JESUS.—He shall be great, and shall be called the SON of the highest: and the Lord God, shall give unto Him the throne of HIS father David."

Luke 2:7, "And she brought forth her first born Son, and wrapped HIM in swaddling clothes, and laid Him in a manger, because there was no room for them in the Inn."

Mrs. Eddy says, "We shall continue to seek salvation through PARDON and not THROUGH REFORM."

We seek salvation through PARDON, because it is the Bible way, and ONLY way.

Jer. 33: 8, "—I will PARDON ALL their iniquities, whereby they have sinned, and whereby they have trans-

gressed against me."

Ish. 55: 7, "Let the wicked forsake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts; and let him return unto the Lord, and He will have mercy upon him; and to our God for He will abundantly PARDON."

Neh. 9: 17, "—Thou are a God ready to PARDON, gracious and merciful."

1910 Edition, P. 19. L. 1, "It was Christ's purpose to RECONCILE MAN TO GOD and NOT GOD TO MAN."

Here is how she perverts the Scripture. She says, "Christ's purpose was to reconcile man to God." Hear what the Bible says.

2 Cor. 5: 18, 19, "And all things are of God, who hath reconciled us TO HIMSELF BY JESUS CHRIST, and hath given to us the ministry of reconciliation; To wit, that God WAS IN CHRIST RECONCILING the world UNTO HIMSELF."

P. 6. L. 3, "Divine Love corrects and governs man. MEN MAY PARDON, but Divine PRINCIPLE alone REFORMS THE SINNER."

According to Christian Science teaching, God does not pardon, divine PRINCIPLE does this. Now take the testimony of the Bible here.

Ish. 55: 7, "Let the wicked forsake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts; and let him return unto the Lord, and He will have mercy, and to our God for He will abundantly PARDON."

Jer. 33: 8, "I Will PARDON ALL their iniquities."

According to the above quotation, "DIVINE PRINCIPLE alone REFORMS THE SINNER." Christian Science claims nothing more than "REFORMING THE SINNER," but Jesus said to Nicodemus, in John 3: 3, 7, "—Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be BORN again he cannot see the kingdom of God."—Marvel not that I said unto thee, Ye MUST be BORN AGAIN."

P. 291. L. 12. "Universal salvation rests on PROGRESSION and PROBATION and is unattainable without them."

The Bible teaches, that God offers Salvation to all who call upon His name. Rom. 10: 13, "For whosoever shall CALL upon the name of the Lord shall be saved."

John 5: 24, "—He that heareth my word, and believeth on Him that send me, hath everlasting life."

No PROGRESSION or PROBATION here, which do you believe?

P. 291. L. 23, "As death findeth mortal man, so shall he be after death, UNTIL PROBATION AND GROWTH shall effect the needed change."

What will mortal man be changed to? According to Mrs. Eddy's teachings, man is PERFECT, and IMMORTAL. P. 428. L. 22. "MAN IS, not shall be, PERFECT AND IMMORTAL." What will his PERFECTION be changed to? Again, P. 336. L. 10, "Man is COEXISTENT and ETERNAL WITH GOD." If he is COEXISTENT with God, what will he be when he is changed, as the above quotation says he will be. We think Mrs. Eddy would have trouble in explaining these statements. In the above quotation she is teaching a salvation through suffering in this life, and after death sufficient to REFORM the SINNER. Her Salvation is REFORMATION. The Bible Salvation is REGENERATION.

John 3: 6. 7. "That which is born of the flesh is flesh; and that which is BORN of the SPIRIT is SPIRIT,—Marvel not that I said unto thee, Ye MUST BE BORN AGAIN."

2 Cor. 5: 17. "—If any man be in Christ he is a NEW creature, old things are passed away; behold all things are become new."

She holds out the hope of probation after death, but she cannot produce one single passage of Scripture to justify her contention, for as death finds us, so will eternity find us.

Luke 16: 26, "—Between us and you there is a great gulf fixed; so that they which would pass from hence to you cannot; neither can they pass to us, that would come from thence."

Heb. 9: 27, "—It is appointed unto man once to die, but after this, (after death) the judgment."

2 Cor. 5: 10, "For we must all appear before the judgment seat of Christ; that every one may receive the things done in his body, according to that he hath done, whether it be good or bad."

P. 404. L. 26. "Healing the sick and REFORMING THE SINNER are one and the same thing in Christian Science."

Here is a new scheme of salvation. No CONFESSION of Sin. No REPENTANCE. No CALLING ON GOD for mercy. No NEW BIRTH. No REGENERATION. Just REFORM, that is all there is to it. Then Jesus did not know when he said to Nichodemas, John 3: 7, 3, "Ye MUST, be BORN again.—Except a man be BORN AGAIN

he CANNOT see the kingdom of God."

In order to understand her theology, one must understand her system of healing; this we have explained in the chapter on healing,—quoting her own words, "Sickness is an illusion." prayer to God is not necessary, faith in God not required, By mind alone sick are healed. Then according to her own statements quoted above, the sinner is REFORMED the same way. "Sin is an illusion of the mortal mind."

P. 447. L. 22. "A sinner is not reformed merely by assuring him that he cannot be a sinner because there is no SIN."

The Bible teaches there is SIN.

Rom. 3: 23. "—All have SINNED."

1 John 1: 10. "If we say that we have not SINNED, we make him a liar."

This is exactly what Mrs. Eddy teaches, and you have the Bible name for her.

PSM. 32: 5. "I acknowledge my sin unto thee, and mine iniquity have I not hid. I said I will confess my transgressions unto the Lord; and thou forgavest the iniquity of my sin."

Then again, the Bible teaches that the healing of the sick is one thing, and forgiving sin is another.

PSM. 103: 3. "Who FORGIVETH all thine iniquities: who healeth all thy diseases."

P. 327. L. 24. "But HOW SHALL WE REFORM THE MAN who has more animal than moral courage? REASON IS THE MOST active human faculty. Let that inform the sentiments and awaken the man's dormant sense of moral obligation, and by degrees he will learn the nothingness of the pleasures of human sense, and the grandeur and bliss of a spiritual sense, which silences the material corporeal. THEN HE NOT ONLY WILL BE SAVED, BUT IS SAVED."

Mrs. Eddy claims the Bible as her only guide, but the writer of these lines will present any person \$1,000.00 who will find the quotation above or anything that has any semblance of it in the Bible. The only use Mrs. Eddy has for the Bible is to deny absolutely, and contradict EVERY FUNDAMENTAL doctrine of the Bible. No where does she speak of the NEW BIRTH, but of REFORMATION. Confession of sin is not necessary, for "there is no sin." If there is "no sin" there is nothing to be repented of, and nothing to be saved from, then we need NO SAVIOR. If we

need no Savior, then the mission of Jesus Christ to the world was a mistake on God's part. John 3: 16, 'God so loved the world that HE GAVE His only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have everlasting life.' And Mrs. Eddy maligns God when she so represents HIM.

Take the testimony of the Scripture here.

Rom. 3: 23, "—All have SINNED, and come short of the glory of God."

Prov. 28: 13, "But whoso confesseth and forsaketh shall find mercy."

1 John 1: 9, "But if we CONFESS our sins, He is faithful and just to FORGIVE us our sins."

Please notice how Mrs. Eddy "reforms the man who has more animal than moral courage." She does not point him to the Lion of the tribe of Judah, that can break every chain. Nor to the Christ who can cast all demons out of men, but "REASON" will do the work. "Let REASON INFORM the sentiments and awaken the man's dormant sense of moral obligation, then he not only will be saved, but is SAVED."

REASON is deified, Christ is dethroned.

Acts 4: 12, "Neither is there SALVATION in any other; for there is none other name under heaven given among men, whereby we must be SAVED."

Mrs. Eddy says REASON will save the moral degenerate.

The Bible declares Christ is the ONLY ONE who can save any one.

Which do you believe? If you accept Christian Science you reject the Bible.

If you accept the Bible, you reject Christian Science. WHICH WILL it be?

CHAPTER X

PRAYER

P. 7. L. 8, "Audible prayer is impressive; BUT DOES IT PRODUCE ANY LASTING BENEFITS?"

The Bible says it does. The experience of the unnumbered millions says it does.

James 5:16, "CONFESS your faults one to another, and PRAY ONE FOR another. The effectual fervent prayer of a righteous man AVAILETH MUCH."

Job 42:10, "And the Lord TURNED THE CAPTIVITY of Job, when he prayed for his friends; also the Lord gave Job TWICE AS MUCH as he had before."

P. 7. L. 27, "The danger of prayer is it MIGHT LEAD US INTO TEMPTATION. By it we may become INVOLUNTARY HYPOCRITES."

Here Christian Science gives the lie to Jesus Himself, for He taught His disciples to pray.

Math. 6:12, 13, "Forgive us our trespasses" and "Lead us not into temptation."

Luke 18:1, "And He spake a parable unto them to this end, that man ought ALWAYS TO PRAY."

According to Christian Science, the Lord Jesus was teaching men to do something that would lead them into temptation, and make them INVOLUNTARY HYPOCRITES. Thus Mrs. Eddy sets her teaching above that of Jesus Christ.

Acts 6:4, "But we will give ourselves continually to prayer."

Here we find the disciples praying CONTINUALLY; that which Mrs. Eddy says might make HYPOCRITES of them.

74th Edition, P. 635, "Prayer to a CORPORAL GOD is USELESS."

The Bible teaches that prayer to a CORPORAL God

is not useless.

Job 22: 27, "Thou shalt make THY prayer unto HIM, and HE shall hear thee."

Here we have three personal pronouns, THOU, HE, HIM. The prayer is to be unto HIM, and HE shall hear thee.

Col. 2: 9, "For in HIM dwelleth all the fulness of the GODHEAD BODILY."

Here the Bible teaches that the HIM is BODILY, which you all know means CORPORAL.

Then God the Father Himself speaking to the Son in Heb. 1: 8, says, "But unto the Son He saith, Thy throne O God is forever and ever."

P. 5. L. 22, "Prayer is NOT TO BE USED AS A CONFESSIONAL to cancel sin. If prayer nourishes the belief THAT SIN IS CANCELLED, and that MAN IS MADE BETTER merely by praying, PRAYER IS AN EVIL."

I need not comment on this statement, but hear what God in His word says about this.

Numb. 5: 6, 7, "Speak unto the children of Israel. When a man or woman shall COMMIT any SIN that men commit, to do a trespass against the Lord, and that person be guilty; Then they SHALL CONFESS THEIR SIN which they have done."

Neh. 1: 6, "Let thine ear now be attentive, and thine eyes open, that thou mayest hear the prayer of thy servant, which I pray before thee now, DAY and NIGHT, for the children of Israel thy servants, and CONFESS THE SINS of the children of Israel, which we have sinned against thee."

PSM. 32: 5, "I acknowledge my sin unto thee, and mine iniquity have I not hid. I said, I will CONFESS MY TRANSGRESSIONS unto the Lord; and thou FORGAVEST the INIQUITY of my SIN."

Prov. 28: 13, "But whoso CONFESSETH and forsaketh them shall find mercy."

1 John 1: 9, "If we CONFESS our SINS, He is faithful and just to FORGIVE us our sins."

Here you see that the teaching of the Bible contradicts what Mrs. Eddy says about prayer.

Eighth Edition, Vol. 2. P. 124. "Prayer to a PERSONAL GOD is a hinderance; petitioning a PERSONAL DEITY is a miscomprehension of the SOURCE and MEANS OF ALL GOOD and BLESSEDNESS, therefore it cannot be beneficial."

I need not comment on these quotations. If there is NO PERSONAL GOD, then prayer is of no avail. To whom shall we pray? You must pray to a PERSON. The God of the Bible is a PERSON, having all the attributes of PERSONALITY, and the Bible teaches men to pray to Him.

Luke 6: 12, "And it came to pass in those days, that He (Jesus) went out into a mountain to PRAY, and continued all night in PRAYER TO GOD."

Here Jesus prayed to a PERSONAL GOD.

Phil. 4: 6, "—In everything by PRAYER and supplication with thanksgiving, let your request be made known unto God."

Jer. 29: 12, "Then shall ye call upon me, and ye shall go and PRAY UNTO ME, and I will hearken unto you."

Jer. 33: 3, "Call unto ME, and I will answer thee, and show thee great and mighty things, which thou knowest not."

Here God tells us that the source and means of blessing is GOD, AND OBTAINED by CALLING UPON GOD.

Jam. 1: 17, "Every GOOD gift and EVERY PERFECT gift is from above, and cometh down from the Father of lights."

P. 12. L. 1, "The prayer of faith shall save the sick," says the Scripture, What is this healing prayer? A mere request that God will heal has NO power to gain more of the divine presence than is always at hand. The BENEFICIAL EFFECT of such prayer for the sick is ON THE HUMAN MIND, making it act more powerfully on the body THROUGH A BLIND FAITH IN GOD."

This shows that Christian Science does not depend on the prayer of faith to heal the sick, nor does it look to God to do the healing, but TO THE HUMAN MIND, ACTING ON THE BODY. Let the Bible speak here.

1 Kings 13: 6, "And the king answered and said unto the man of God, Entreat now the face of the Lord thy God, and PRAY FOR ME, that my hand may be RESTORED me again. And the man of God besought the Lord, and the king's hand was restored him again, and became as it was before."

Here the man of God PRAYED to GOD for the King, and the HAND WAS restored, by PRAYER, and not by HUMAN MIND ACTING ON THE BODY.

James 5: 15, "The PRAYER OF FAITH SHALL SAVE THE SICK."

1910 Edition, Chap. 7. P. 179. "Science can heal the

sick who are absent from their healer, as well as those present, since space is no obstacle to MIND."

This shows that Christian Science calls their healing, MIND, not Faith.

Again, This is very strange that SCIENCE CAN HEAL SOMETHING THAT DOES NOT EXIST. for in 1913 Edition, P. 278. L. 28. "All that we term sickness and death IS AN ILLUSION. DOES NOT EXIST

Miscellanies, P. 308. "Intensely contemplating personality impedes spiritual growth.—I earnestly advise all Christian Scientists to remove from their observation or study the PERSONAL SENSE OF ANY ONE."

Thus you see that Christian Scientists cannot pray; for prayer is the address of A PERSONAL human being to a PERSONAL God, on the merits of a mediatory Personal Savior directed by a Personal Holy Spirit.

Eph. 2: 18, "For through Him (Christ) we both have access by one Spirit unto the Father."

Job 22: 27, "Thou shalt make thy PRAYER unto HIM, and HE shall hear thee."

Psm. 65: 2, "O thou that hearest prayer, unto THEE shall all flesh come."

Jer. 32: 18, 19, "Thou shonest loving kindness unto thousands, and recompensest the iniquity of the fathers unto the bosom of their children after them: the GREAT, the MIGHTY GOD, the LORD of HOSTS, is His name. Great in council, and mighty in work; For thine EYES are open upon all the ways of the sons of men; to give every one according to his ways, and according to the fruit of his doings."

2 Sam. 22: 7, "In my distress I called upon the Lord, and CRIED to my God; and He DID hear my voice out of His temple, and my cry DID enter into HIS ears."

Here we find the Bible does not agree with Christian Science. Which do you believe?

P. 12. L. 6. "Prayer to a corporal God effects the sick LIKE A DRUG, which has NO EFFICACY OF ITS OWN, but borrows it FROM HUMAN FAITH AND BELIEF."

You will please notice here that she does not even claim to heal by prayer to God, for the God of the Bible is a corporal God, but BY HUMAN FAITH AND BELIEF." Very different from the healing of the Bible, which says, "The PRAYER of FAITH shall save the sick." Jas. 5: 15.

1910 Edition, Chap. 1. P. 15. "In order to pray aright we must CLOSE THE LIPS and SILENCE the material

sense. In the quiet sanctuary of earnest longing WE MUST DENY SIN, and plead God's allness."

Notice the first part of the statement. "In order to pray aright we must CLOSE THE LIPS and silence the MATERIAL SENSES." here let the Bible speak. 1 Cor. 1: 2, "Unto the Church of God which is at Corinth, to them that are sanctified in Christ Jesus, called to be saints, with all that in every place CALL UPON THE NAME of the Lord."

Rom. 10: 13, "For whosoever shall CALL upon the name of the Lord shall be saved."

Zach. 13: 9, "—They shall CALL upon my name, and I will hear them; I will say, It is my people; and they shall say, The LORD is my God."

And the last part of the quotation is just as absurd when compared with the word of God.

Christian Science says, "we must DENY SIN," but the Bible says,

1 John 1: 9, "We must CONFESS SIN,"

Prov. 28: 13, "But whoso CONFESSETH."

Christian Science takes direct issue here with the Bible. Which do you believe?

P. 12. L. 22. "**THE COMMON CUSTOM** of praying for the recovery of the sick finds **HELP IN BLIND BELIEF**, whereas **HELP SHOULD COME FROM THE ENLIGHTENED UNDERSTANDING.**"

Help from THE ENLIGHTENED UNDERSTANDING," and not from God. Are you surprised at this statement? You should not be. While Christian Scientists glibly tell you they believe in prayer, it is not the belief that the Bible commands, nor the child of God exercises.

Vol. 2. P. 127. "**Asking God to pardon sin IS A VAIN REPETITION such as the heathen use.**"

You will please keep in mind that she says, P. 497, L. 3. "**As adherents of truth, we take the inspired word of the Bible as our sufficient guide to eternal life.**" by the side of this quotation above, place the scripture, and see if she takes the inspired word of the Bible.

PSM. 25: 11, "For thy name's sake, O LORD, pardon mine iniquity."

Luke 11: 4, 9, "Jesus taught that we were to ask God to pardon," "And—**FORGIVE us our SINS,—And I say unto you, ASK and it shall be given you.**"

In denying prayer, Christian Science denies the Bible

which teaches and insists on prayer.

Christian Science and the Bible have nothing in common on this issue of prayer.

A Christian Scientist cannot consistently pray to God to deliver him from evil, for there is no evil, He says.

A Christian Scientist cannot pray to God to deliver him from sin, for there is no sin, He says.

A Christian Scientist cannot pray to God to deliver him from Sickness, for there is no sickness, HE SAYS.

CHAPTER XI

HEALING

What is the explanation of the so-called healing of Christian Science? and how do these practitioners effect the cures ascribed to them? To answer these questions, we need only to give their theory, "**That it is the RESULT of MIND acting on MIND to dispell the illusion of sickness.**" I propose here to prove from Mrs. Eddy's own works and words, that her system of healing is not the healing as taught in the New Testament, and as believed by the followers of the Christ. We will show that PRAYER and FAITH are eliminated. That God is a minus quantity. We will show that her so-called healing is "MENTAL HEALING" and not Divine healing as the Bible teaches, and as Christians believe.

Mrs. Eddy is so sure of her position, that she says her system of healing PROVES her system of theology to be correct. For she says,

P. 547. L. 3. "IF ONE OF THE STATEMENTS OF THIS BOOK IS TRUE, EVERY ONE MUST BE TRUE. YOU CAN PROVE FOR YOURSELF, dear reader, the SCIENCE OF HEALING, and so ascertain if the author has given you the correct interpretation of Scripture."

Now, if a system of healing proves the correctness of a person's theology, then the allopathy, the homeopathy, the osteopathy, the chiropractic, the starvation doctor and all other schools of healing can make the same claim that Mrs. Eddy makes. If Mrs. Eddy's system of healing proves her interpretation of Scripture to be correct, then how about all the other forms of healing, which are many, and as great cures claimed for them as Mrs. Eddy claims for Christian Science.

Let us name here some of the different kinds of cures that are in vogue today.

1. Prayer and faith cure; which are based upon faith in God and the Virgin.

2. Relic cures of all sorts; which are based upon faith in the Holy emblems, seen and touched.
3. Mind cures; effected by the realization of the power of mind over matter.
4. Spiritualistic cures; effected by faith in departed spirits.
5. Mesmeric cures; effected by supposed fluid of magnetic influence passing from healer to patient.

Is the theology of these systems of healing correct because they perform cures? Every one of them claim they can produce as many cases of healing as Christian Science can.

In the story of the delivery of the children of Israel out of the land of Egyptian bondage, as told in Exodus 7 to 11, the magicians did some of the things that Moses, God's servant did, but only in two of the very minor plagues were they able to imitate God's servant, then their methods were a failure, and so with the modern "magicians" today, are able to imitate the healing performed by God's servants, but only where the effect of mind over matter will bring results, and NO further can they go. And as E. A. Kimball, a noted Christian Scientist lecturer, said in his lecture at Bloomington, Illinois, "I will go farther, and say that seventy-five or eighty percent of all the cases of sickness that occur, would recover spontaneously if they were left alone, if they had no treatment, no doctor, no change of air, no electricity, or any thing else. Seventy-five or eighty percent of the different ailments, if left alone would disappear if nothing were done." Thus the testimony of Christian Scientists, is that they do not heal, that the persons would get well, if left alone.

P. 109. L. 11. "**For three years after my discovery, I sought the solution of this problem of MIND HEALING—I won my way to absolute conclusion through divine revelation, reason, and demonstration.**"

She does not even claim that her system of healing is divine healing, but calls it, "**MIND HEALING.**"

P. 107. L. 3, "**God has been graciously preparing me during many years for the reception of this final revelation of the absolute divine principle of SCIENTIFIC MENTAL HEALING.**"

Here she calls it, "**MENTAL HEALING.**"

P. 445. L. 27. "**There is great danger in teaching MIND HEALING indiscriminately.**"

Here she calls it, "MIND HEALING."

P. 447. L. 5. "In MENTAL HEALING."

Here she calls it "MENTAL HEALING."

P. 424. L. 12. "In medical practice objections would be raised if one doctor should administer a drug to counteract the working of a remedy prescribed by another doctor. It is equally important in METAPHYSICAL PRACTICE that the MINDS which surround your patient should not act against your influence."

Here she calls it "METAPHYSICAL PRACTICE. Where is any faith cure here?

P. 420. L. 28. "If it became necessary to startle MORTAL MIND to break the dream of suffering, vehemently tell your patient that he must awake.—Tell him that he is suffering only as the insane, from A FALSE BELIEF."

Note the difference here in the methods of healing.

Christian Science professes to heal, by making the person believe a lie, that is, they are SUFFERING FROM A FOUL BELIEF. The Bible way is different. It recognizes the fact, and through the prayer of FAITH, as we find in James 5: 14, 15, "Is any sick among you? let him call for the elders of the Church; and let them pray over him,—and the PRAYER of FAITH shall save the sick."

P. 421. L. 11, "If a crisis occurs in your treatment, you must treat the patient LESS FOR THE DISEASE and more for the MENTAL DISTURBANCE."

This shows that her work is on the MIND and not the DISEASE.

In Retrospection and Introspection Mrs. Eddy uses the expression, MIND CURE, and MIND HEALING twenty times and implies it more than five hundred other places, while she never mentions FAITH CURE, except as she discards it at once.

P. 421. L. 18. "When the supposed suffering is gone, from the MORTAL MIND there can be no pain."

This shows that her work is on the mind and not on the disease. Then where there is a real disease, Christian Science can do nothing, it works only where there is SUPPOSED SUFFERING, and so when you suffer, never call a Christian Scientist practitioner.

P. 396. L. 9. "AVOID SPEAKING ALOUD the name of the disease." Here she instructs her followers not to speak ALOUD the name of disease.

P. 349. L. 49. "Not understanding Christian Science,

the sick usually have little faith in it till they feel its beneficial influence. **THIS SHOWS THAT FAITH IS NOT THE HEALER IN SUCH CASES.**"

What do you think of this statement, where she says, "**THIS SHOWS THAT FAITH IS NOT THE HEALER IN SUCH CASES.**" There could be nothing clearer, than that the so-called healing of Christian Science, is not the HEALING of THE BIBLE, for the healing of the BIBLE, is always BY FAITH.

On P. 419. L. 8. she says, "If your patient from any cause **SUFFERS A RELAPSE, MEET THAT CAUSE MEN-TALLY** and courageously."

P. 419. L. 22. "**A RELAPSE CANNOT REALLY OC-CUR** in mortal or so-called mortal mind."

Now hear the contradiction, P. 419. L. 31. "**If it is found necessary to TREAT AGAINST RELAPSE.**"

First it is RELAPSE, then there IS NO SUCH THING AS RELAPSE, and finally, if it is FOUND NECESSARY to treat against relapse. How can these statements be harmonized, and she says, "**In this book of mine there are no contradictions.**" If these are not contradictions, then what are they?

P. 12. L. 1. "The prayer of faith shall save the sick, says the Scripture. What is this healing prayer? A mere request that God will heal has no power to gain more of the divine presence than is always at hand. The beneficial effect of such prayers for the sick, is **ON THE HUMAN MIND**, making it act more powerfully **ON THE BODY THROUGH A BLIND FAITH IN GOD.**"

Here she says, "**The beneficial effect of prayer is, ON THE HUMAN MIND, making it act MORE POWERFULLY ON THE BODY THROUGH A BLIND FAITH IN GOD,**" and yet she dares to call it divine healing. Here she quotes the Scripture and then follows it with her statement, which is not what the Scripture says at all. Which do you believe?

Mrs. Eddy claims that Christian Science heals diseases just as Jesus did; if this is so, there is no sickness it cannot heal. Now listen to the following quotations and see if they even profess to do so.

P. 401. L. 27. "Until the advancing age admits the **EFFICACY and SUPREMACY OF MIND**, it is better for Christian Science to leave surgery and the adjustment of broken bones and dislocations to the fingers of a surgeon." On page 402 she says, "**Christian Science is always the most**

skillful surgeon, but surgery is the branch of its healing which will be last acknowledged."

Now notice her statement in the following quotation, and see if you could harmonize this with the healing of the Bible.

P. 464. L. 13. "If from any injury or from any cause, a Christian Scientist WERE SEIZED with PAIN, so VIOLENT"—You must remember she has been telling us there patients believe there IS NO PAIN. Surely this is the very IS NO PAIN, "that he could not treat himself MENTALLY, the SUFFERER could call a surgeon, who would give him a HYPODERMIC injection, then, when the BELIEF of pain was lulled, he could handle his own case MENTALLY, thus we prove all things (and) hold fast that which is good."

Let us note her statements. "If a Christian Scientist were seized with PAIN." She has all the while been trying to make them say there WAS NO PAIN, and here she tells them they "They might be seized with pain, and could not treat themselves MENTALLY, the SUFFERER could call a surgeon, who would give him a HYPODERMIC injection, and then when the BELIEF of pain was killed."

Please remember they HAD the PAIN, for she says, "they MIGHT be seized WITH PAIN." then when the HYPODERMIC injection lulls the BELIEF of pain; I suppose when the hypodermic injection killed the PAIN, the patient could believe it was gone, but they could not believe it was gone until it was killed by the hypodermic, for until the hypodermic injection KILLED IT, it WAS THERE and even a Christian Scientist must BELIEVE, but it is the business of the Christian Scientist healers to make their SUFFERING essence of DECEPTION and HYPOCRACY. Call a surgeon for your self but as Mrs. Eddy tells her healers, "If it becomes necessary to STARTLE MORTAL MIND to break the dream of SUFFERING, vehemently TELL YOUR PATIENT that he must be awaked, that he is suffering as the insane, FROM A FALSE BELIEF." Thus you see they go to the surgeon for themselves, but it is their business to deceive poor SUFFERING humanity.

P. 12. L. 16. "Prayer to a corporal God effects the sick like a drug, which has no efficacy of its own, but BORROWS ITS POWER FROM HUMAN FAITH and BELIEF."

Here she tells us that prayer to a corporal God, has NO power of its own, but BORROWS its POWER FROM HUMAN FAITH and BELIEF,

Now let me call your attention to some of the foregoing quotations.

1 "This shows that FAITH IS NOT THE HEALER in such cases."

2 "PRAYER has NO EFFICACY of its own."

3 "The beneficial effect of such prayer for the sick IS ON THE MORTAL MIND."

4 "Through a BLIND FAITH IN GOD."

5 "PRAYER borrows its power from HUMAN FAITH and BELIEF."

When you hear Christian Scientists talking about waiting upon God, just remember the above statements made by their author.

If you have observed closely the quotations from Mrs. Eddy's book, you will have noticed that the founder of Christian Science refers to her healing, as, "Scientific MENTAL HEALING," "MIND HEALING," "MENTAL PRACTICE," "METAPHYSICAL PRACTICE," The MORTAL MIND must be STARTLED, "Treat your patient for the MENTAL DISTURBANCE," "SURROUND YOUR PATIENT with SYMPATHETIC minds."

She does not even presume here to call her system of so-called healing divine healing, we are quoting from the last edition of her book, and we suppose will be the last revision, because she IS DEAD, and surely no one else will dare to revise this so-called divine revelation.

P. 461. L. 15. "If you believe you are sick, SHOULD YOU SAY, I AM SICK? NO."

How do you like this statement? Why should they call a surgeon to kill a pain? Here Mrs. Eddy sets her authority above that of the Bible, for the Bible says there is sickness, and SAYS SO.

Mark 1: 30, "But Simon's wife's mother lay SICK with a FEVER."

Ish. 38: 1, "In those days was Hezekiah SICK unto death."

Deut. 28: 22, "The Lord will smite thee with consumption, and with a FEVER, and with an INFLAMATION, and with EXTREME BURNING."

Now do you suppose it would have changed the fact, to have denied they were sick, as Christian Science teaches its followers? The Bible recognizes the fact of SICKNESS, but Christian Science denies it, which do you believe?

10th. Edition, Vol. 2. P. 124. "PRAYER TO A PER-

SONAL GOD IS A HINDERANCE; petitioning a PERSONAL deity is a MISCOMPREHENSION of the source of all good."

Let the Bible speak here.

James 1: 17, "EVERY GOOD and perfect gift is from above, and cometh down from the FATHER of lights."

Now, if Christian Scientists do not pray to a personal God, to whom do they pray? The God of the Bible is a person, having all the attributes of personality. The God of the Bible is the source of all GOOD, and when we pray to Him, it is not with BLIND FAITH, nor is the only results of our praying, a, "**beneficial effect upon the human mind,**" as the prayer of the Christian Science is.

How very different are the words of Jesus from those of Mrs. Eddy; Christ said to Peter, Mark 11: 22 "HAVE FAITH IN GOD." Again Math. 9: 22, Where the woman with the issue of blood pushed her way through the crowd, and said, "If I can but touch the hem of His garment I shall be made whole, and Jesus said to her, THY FAITH HATH MADE THEE WHOLE." In Math. 15. 28, Jesus said to the Syro-phenecian woman, "O woman great is thy FAITH; be it unto thee even as thou wilt, and her daughter was made whole from that hour." In Luke 7: 50, Jesus said to the woman who anointed His feet with oil, "Thy FAITH hath saved thee."

In Heb. 11: 16, we read, "Without FAITH it is impossible to please God, for he that cometh to God must believe that HE is, and that HE is the rewarder of them that diligently seek Him."

In Math. 9: 29, 30, Jesus touched the eyes of the two BLIND men and said, "According to YOUR FAITH be it unto you, and their eyes were opened."

If there is no place for an active FAITH in a real personal God in Mrs. Eddy's system, there is no room for such faith in the teachings of Jesus.

In Mark 9: 14-29, To the father of the boy who had a dumb spirit, whom the disciples had failed to relieve, Jesus said, "If thou canst BELIEVE, all things are possible to him that BELIEVETH. And when He was come into the house, His disciples asked Him privately, Why could not we cast him out? and He said unto them, this kind can come forth by nothing, but by PRAYER and FASTING."

If you have noticed the quotations by Mrs. Eddy who is the founder and teacher of this so-called healing system, you will have discovered that it has NO place in it for

PRAAYER, and FASTING, and FAITH, and yet the author of Science and Health claims to be performing cures as Jesus did.

P. 402. L. 15, "In Science no breakage nor dislocation can really occur. You say that accident, injuries, and disease kills men, but this is not true."

According to her own statement here, there is NO SICKNESS. If there is no disease, or sickness, how then did she perform all her wonderful cures as reported in her book.

Why does she advise her followers to leave the adjustment of broken bones to surgery, if there is no such thing in Science. "**P. 401. L. 27, "Until the advancing age admits the EFFICACY and SUPREMACY OF MIND, it is better for Christian Science to leave surgery and the adjustment of broken bones and dislocations to the fingers of a surgeon."**"

Some contradiction here, How are you going to harmonize these statements?

Now does Christian Science heal as Jesus did? If the Bible is true, there can be but one answer, most assuredly, NO.

Jesus' healings were IMMEDIATE CURES, and He NEVER FAILED. Do Christian Scientist practitioners perform their cures the first visit? or do they continue to visit their patients as long as they have money? NO PAY, NO PRAY is their slogan. The writer can cite cases where practitioners have said, "The reason the persons did not recover, was they were not willing to pay for the service." that an EVIL SPIRIT came upon Saul."

Edward A. Kimball a noted Christian Science lecturer, in an address given at Bloomington, Ill., (Chautauqua) said as follows, "We find that when we get hold of a person who does not want to pay, he is one of the hardest people to heal. The fact is, it is SIN.—the sin of selfishness, of greed; unwillingness to give credit where credit is due. This willingness to get something for nothing is a SIN, and Christian Scientist would be helping to perpetuate that sin if they went and healed people for nothing." Every turn they make they contradict themselves and their teaching. Hear what Mrs. Eddy says, P. 278. L. 28, "All that we term SIN, is a mortal belief."

P. 244. L. 3, "Sickness, SIN, and death is an ILLUSION, the MIRAGE of ERROR."

Again, do Christian Scientists never fail? You can

answer this question, just look about you and recall the failures. BROKEN bones and DISLOCATIONS were the same to Him as any other ailment. But Christian Science practitioners have been told to leave that to the FINGERS of a SURGEON. Did Jesus ever call a surgeon? He healed all who came to Him. Has any one ever known of a Leper being healed by a Scientist? Have any eyes which were born blind been opened? Have any dead been raised? Have any devils been cast out? Have any lunatics been cured? Have any ears been restored which were cut off? I ask, has any person ever known of such cases? I do not ask, have you heard of such cases, but do you know of such cases? I have heard of such supposed healings, and I am frank to tell you, that of the many that I have investigated to the end, I have not found ONE single case.

Christian Science healings are not immediate cures, if cures at all, and this is performed by the effect of MIND over matter, as they themselves admit.

"MENTAL HEALING, MIND HEALING, MENTAL PRACTICE, METAPHYSICAL PRACTICE, MORTAL MIND MUST BE STARTLED, the patient must be treated for the MENTAL DISTURBANCE." Until they heal anything and every thing, they must not make the claim that they perform these healings as Jesus did, for the undeceived person will not believe it.

In conclusion, let me say, to warn Christians lest they be beguiled away from the simplicity that is in Christ. Let such as would abide in the truth, give heed to the clear denials of Scripture indicated in the quotations above, set in contrast with the word of God; and then the warnings of St. Paul, 1 Tim. 6: 29, to avoid, "THE OPPOSITIONS OF SCIENCE FALSELY SO CALLED, WHICH SOME PROFESSING HAVE ERRED CONCERNING THE FAITH," And when this science talks about Jesus Christ's "SUPPOSED LIFE IN MATTER" let them remember that some in the days of St. John spoke precisely the same way,—"AGNOSTICS"—or SCIENTISTS—as they were called, and that of them the Apostle wrote when he said in 2 John 7, "For many deceivers are entered into the world, WHO CONFESS NOT THAT JESUS CHRIST IS COME IN THE FLESH, This is a deceiver and an antichrist."

CHAPTER XII

SIN

P. 188. L. 4. "THE BELIEF IN SIN, which has grown terribly in strength and influence IS AN UN-CONSCIOUS ERROR in the beginning."

The Bible says it is a fact, and not an unconscious error.

1 John 3: 8, "He that COMMITTETH SIN is of the devil; for the devil SINNETH from the beginning."

Rom. 5: 12, "Wherefore as by one man SIN entered in to the world and death by SIN; and so death passed upon all men, for that ALL HAVE SINNED."

Rom. 3: 23. "For ALL HAVE SINNED."

Psm. 51: 5, "Behold I was shapen in iniquity; and in SIN did my mother conceive me."

Gen. 6: 5, "And God saw that the WICKEDNESS of men was great in all the earth, and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only EVIL CONTINUAL-LY."

If Christian Science is true, then God must have been possessed with AN UNCONSCIOUS ERROR. Do you believe it?

P. 278. L. 28. "All that we term SIN, sickness, and death is a MORTAL BELIEF."

Christian Science takes issue here with the Bible, by saying, SIN, sickness, and death is a MORTAL BELIEF. Hear what the Bible says it is.

1 John 3: 4, "Whosoever committeth SIN, TRANSGRESSETH also the law, for SIN is the TRANSGRESSION OF THE LAW." Here the Bible says SIN is the TRANSGRESSION of the law, and not an unconscious error.

Again, John 16: 8, 9, "And when He is come, He will convict the world of SIN,—OF SIN because they believe not on me." Here SIN is not believing on Jesus CHRIST. Not an unconscious error.

Prov. 21: 4, "An high look, and a proud heart, and the

plowing of the wicked is SIN."

An high look is SIN. A proud heart is SIN. The plowing of the wicked is SIN.

P. 281. L. 22, "We shall learn that SIN and mortality are WITHOUT ACTUAL ORIGIN OR RIGHTFUL EXISTENCE."

The Bible does not teach this.

Rom. 5: 12, "Wherefore as by one man SIN ENTERED in the world, and death by SIN, and so death passed upon all men, for that ALL HAVE SINNED."

Rom. 3: 23, "All have SINNED."

1 John 1: 10, "If we say that we HAVE NOT SINNED, we make God a liar, and His word is not in us."

1 John 5: 17, "All unrighteousness IS SIN."

P. 72. L. 21. "God being ever present, it follows in divine logic that EVIL IS NEVER PRESENT."

Let the Bible speak here.

Rom. 7: 21, "I find then a law, that when I would do good, EVIL is ever present with me."

Rom. 7: 19, "But the EVIL which I would not, that I do."

Rom. 5: 20, "But where SIN abounded, grace did much more abound."

P. 447. L. 22, "A sinner is not reformed merely by assuring him that he cannot be a sinner because THERE IS NO SIN."

1 John 1: 8, "If we say that we have NO SIN, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us."

PSM. 51: 5, "Behold I was shapen in iniquity, and IN SIN did my mother conceive me."

Rom. 3: 23, "ALL HAVE SINNED."

How are you going to harmonize the statements of the Bible here with those of Christian Science?"

P. 207. L. 9. "We must learn that EVIL is the awful deception and unreality of existence."

Now take the testimony of the Bible.

1 Peter 3: 12, "For the eyes of the Lord are over the righteous, and His ears are open unto their prayers; but the face of the Lord is against them that do EVIL."

James 3: 16, "For where envy and strife is, there is confusion and every EVIL work."

2 Tim. 3: 13, "But EVIL men and seducers shall wax worse and worse."

1 Thes. 5: 22, "Abstain from all appearance of EVIL."

P. 244. L. 3. "Sickness, SIN, and death IS AN ILLUSION, the MIRAGE OF ERROR."

Rom. 5: 12, "Wherefore, as by one man SIN entered in to the world, and DEATH by SIN; and so DEATH passed upon all men, for that ALL have SINNED."

Numb. 5: 6, "Speak unto the children of Israel, when a man or woman shall commit any SIN that men commit, to do a trespass against the Lord, and that person be guilty," Verse 7, "Then they shall confess their SIN WHICH they have done."

Rom. 3: 23, "All have SINNED."

1 John 1: 8, "If we say that we have NO SIN, we deceive ourselves, and the TRUTH is not in us."

1 John 1: 10, "If we say that we have NOT SINNED, we make God a LIAR, and HIS WORD IS NOT IN US."

P. 71. L. 2. "EVIL HAS NO REALITY. It is neither person, place nor thing, but is simply a belief, an illusion of the material sense."

The Bible says it is real, and a thing that men can, and do do.

PSM. 51: 4, "Against thee, thee only, have I SINNED, and done this EVIL in thy, sight."

1 Peter 3: 12, "For the eyes of the Lord are over the righteous, and His ears are open unto their prayer; but the face of the Lord is against them that DO EVIL."

1 Peter 3: 10, "Let him refrain his tongue from EVIL."

Seventy-fourth Edit. P. 207, "SIN exists only as long as the material illusion remains."

Some contradiction here, and you will keep in mind that Mrs. Eddy says, P. 345. L. 13, "In this volume of mine there are no contradictory statements." Now take the quotation above, SIN EXISTS only as long as the material illusion remains." then on P. 447. L. 22. "THERE IS NO SIN." In the first quotation she says SIN exists, in the other she says, THERE is NO SIN.

P. 392. L. 28, "TO GET RID OF SIN through Science, is to DIVEST sin of any supposed mind or reality."

The way Christian Science gets rid of SIN is just DIVEST it of any supposed mind or reality. All that is necessary for the thief, the murderer, the adulterer, the harlot, the liar, the fornicator, the idolater, the covetous, the drunkard, the extortioner, the reviler to do, is to declare there is NO SIN. But the Bible way of getting rid of sin, is very different.

1 John 1: 9, "If we CONFESS our SINS, He is faithful and just to FORGIVE us our SINS."

Prov. 28: 13, "But whoso CONFESSETH and forsaketh shall find mercy."

2 Chron. 6: 39, "Then hear thou from the heavens, even from Thy dwelling place, their prayers and their supplications, and maintain their cause, and FORGIVE the people which have Sinned against Thee."

P. 372. L. 14, "When man demonstrates Christian Science absolutely, he will be perfect. He can neither sin, suffer, be subject to matter, nor disobey the law of God."

Did the author of Christian Scinece attain this, and make this demonstration? She suffered. She was subject to the law of matter. She died. Where, when, and by whom has this ever been demonstrated to the world? There is not one single word in scripture that will justify the above quotation, and yet she says, she takes the Bible as her guide.

P. 288. L. 22, "**SOUL** is SINLESS."

How can this be true when the Bible says, Ezk. 18: 4, "The SOUL that SINNETH it Shall die."

1910 Edition, Chap. 8. P. 207, L. 1, "These evil beliefs often called EVIL SPIRITS; but these evils are NOT SPIRITS, for there is NO EVIL spirit."

The Bible teaches there are EVIL spirits.

Judges 9: 23, "Then God sent an EVIL SPIRIT between Abimelich and the men of Sechem."

1 Samuel 16: 14, 15, "But the Spirit of the Lord departed from Saul, and an EVIL SPIRIT from the Lord troubled him; And Saul's servant said unto him, Behold now an EVIL SPIRIT troubleth thee."

P. 330. L.29. "EVIL IS NOTHING CLAIMING TO BE SOMETHING."

This is not true, or the Bible is false.

Psm. 51: 4, "Against thee, thee only, have I sinned and done this EVIL in thy sight."

Psm. 109: 5, "And they have rewarded me EVIL for good."

Ecles. 5: 13, "There IS A SORE EVIL which I have seen under the sun."

David says he had done this EVIL, and Solomon says he SAW A SORE EVIL, but Christian Science, says, it is NOTHING. Which do you believe?

P. 311. L. 12, "It is the SENSE of sin, and not a SINFUL SOUL which is lost."

The Bible does not say this, it says, Ezk. 18: 4, "The SOUL that SINNETH IT SHALL DIE."

P. 480. L. 19, "God never made man CAPABLE OF SIN. It is the opposite of good that is evil—which SEEMS to make men capable of wrong doing."

Who added the finishing touch to man? The Bible says God made man perfect and in His own image, Gen. 1: 26, 27, "And God said, let us make man in our IMAGE, after our likeness; and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth. So God created man in His OWN IMAGE, in the IMAGE OF GOD CREATED He him." And David says, Psm. 51: 5, "In SIN DID my mother conceive me."

Christian Science not only claims that man is not a Sinner, but that, "**MAN IS INCAPABLE OF SIN.**" and that God could not make man capable of SIN."

Then the Bible teaches what is not true, for it teaches very plainly that man is capable of sin, and does sin.

Rom. 3: 23, "**ALL HAVE SINNED.**"

PSM. 51: 4, "**Against Thee, Thee only HAVE I SINNED.**"

If Man is INCAPABLE of SIN, then God commanded man not to do that which He knew they could not do, as we find in Gen. 3: 3-6, "But of the fruit of the tree which is in the midst of the garden, God hath said, Ye shall not eat of it, neither shall ye touch it, lest ye die,—And when the woman saw that the tree was good for food, and that it was pleasant to the eyes, and a tree to be desired to make one wise, she took of the fruit thereof, and DID EAT, and gave also unto her husband, and HE DID EAT." Here we see that man did do what God said to them they must not do, and in Verse 12 the man said, "The woman which thou gavest to be with me, she gave me of the tree, and I DID EAT." Now which do you believe?

1910 Edition, Chapter 4. P. 70. L. 9, "The supposition that there are GOOD and EVIL spirits, is a MISTAKE."

Let the Bible speak here.

1 Sam. 18: 10, "Then it came to pass on the morrow,

1 Sam. 19: 10, "And the EVIL SPIRIT was upon Saul, as he sat in his house with the javelin in his hand."

Judges 9: 23, "Then God sent an EVIL SPIRIT between Abimelich and the men of Sechem."

Here we see that the Bible says there are EVIL SPIRITS. Which is right the Bible, or Christian Science? You must keep in mind that Mrs. Eddy says, "We take the inspired word of the Bible as our sufficient guide to eternal life." This is not true, for her words positively contradict the plain words of the Bible.

P. 481. L. 29, "In Science we learn that it is the MATERIAL sense, not the Soul, which sins; and it will be found that it is the SENSE OF SIN which is lost, and not a SINFUL SOUL."

Here we agree that she learned this IN SCIENCE, we are very sure that she never learned it in the Bible, for this is not what the Bible teaches, and yet she says, "she takes the inspired word of the Bible as her sufficient guide," so now hear what the Bible says about this.

Ezek. 18: 4, "The SOUL that SINNETH, IT, (that is the soul) SHALL DIE."

This states very clearly that it is the SOUL that shall DIE, and not the SENSE of SIN. Nothing plainer. Which do you believe?

Miscellanies, P. 63, "If there is no sin, why did Jesus come to save sinners? Jesus came to SEEK AND TO SAVE THEM FROM FALSE BELIEF."

The Bible says Jesus came to SAVE from SIN, and not FROM FALSE BELIEF.

Math. 1: 21, "And she shall bring forth a Son, and thou shalt call His name JESUS: For He shall SAVE his people FROM THEIR SINS."

Luke 19: 10, "For the Son of man is come to seek and SAVE that which was lost."

1 Tim. 1: 15, "This is a faithful saying,—That Christ Jesus came into the world to SAVE SINNERS."

John 1: 29, "The next day John seeth Jesus coming unto him, and saith, Behold the Lamb of God, which TAKETH AWAY the SIN of the world."

Here the Bible contradicts the teaching of Christian Science. Which do you accept?

P. 356. L. 25, "Does divine love commit a fraud on humanity by making man incline to sin, and then punish him for it?"

Man is a FREE MORAL AGENT, with power to choose. This is clearly shown in God's attitude toward man in the garden of Eden. Gen. 3: 3, "But of the fruit of the tree which is in the midst of the garden, God hath said, Ye shall

NOT eat of it, neither shall ye touch it, lest ye die." and here did man demonstrate his ability to disobey the commandments of his maker; for the Twelfth verse tells us that they did do what God told them not to do. "And the man said, The woman whom thou gavest to be with me, she gave me of the tree, and I DID EAT."

Gen. 4:7. "If thou doest not well, Sin lieth at thy door." NOT DOING WELL, is God's first definition for sin.

P. 373. L. 5. "**I**t is easier to cure the most malignant disease than it is to cure sin,"—You will please note the contradiction here. How can she cure something that does not EXIST? on P. 447. L. 2. "**T**HERE IS NO SIN." Now how are you going to harmonize these statements of Mrs. Eddy? But let us read again. "**I**t is easier to cure the most malignant disease than it is to cure SIN. The author has raised up the dying, partly because they were willing to be restored, while she was struggling long, and perhaps in vain, to lift a student out of chronic sin."

This statement is startling, when we remember some of the quotations that she has made. On Page 447, L. 22, "**T**here is no SIN." Why should she struggle to lift a person out of that which does not exist? And then sometimes not do it, as she says, "in vain." but again why not get rid of sin by her method as she tells us. P. 392. L. 28, "**T**O GET RID OF SIN through Science, DIVEST IT of any supposed MIND or REALITY."

It is very evident that the author of Christian Science cannot do what she tells others to do, DIVEST IT of any supposed MIND or REALITY. For if she could, she would not struggle IN VAIN, as she says she has.

P. 457. L. 28. "**M**an is INCAPABLE OF SIN. The real man cannot depart from holiness, nor can God, by whom man is evolved, engender the capacity of freedom to sin."

Notice in the first place, according to Christian Science, man was not CREATED by God, but EVOLVED from Him. The Bible says he was CREATED.

Gen. 1:27, "So God CREATED man in His own image."

Then again, the Bible teaches us that man IS CAPABLE OF SIN, and does SIN.

Psm. 51: 3, 4, "For I acknowledge my transgression, and my SIN is ever before me.—Against Thee, Thee only HAVE I SINNED."

The Bible story of our first parents show that Mrs. Eddy's statements are not true.

Gen. 3: 3, 4, 6, 9-12, "But of the fruit of the tree which is in the midst of the garden, God hath said, YE SHALL NOT eat of it, neither shall ye touch it, lest ye die. And the serpent said unto the woman, Ye shall not surely die;—And when the woman saw that the tree was good for food, and that it was pleasant to the eyes, and a tree to be desired to make one wise, she took of the fruit thereof, and DID EAT, and gave also unto her husband, and HE DID EAT.—And the Lord God called unto Adam, and said unto him, Where art thou? And he said, I heard thy voice in the garden, and I was afraid, because I was naked; and I hid myself. And He said, Who told thee that thou wast naked? Hast thou eaten of the tree, whereof I commanded thee that thou shouldst not eat? And the man said, The woman whom thou gavest to be with me, she gave me of the tree, and I DID EAT."

Here let me say in all kindness, THE WOMAN is still doing the same thing, and sad as it is, many are the persons who are eating the God-forbidden, Soul-blighting, Truth killing, deadening teaching that comes from the same teacher that deceived our first parents.

P. 186. L. 11, "Evil is a NEGATION, because it is the absence of truth. It is NOTHING, because it is the absence of something. It is unreal, because it pre-supposes the absence of God."

Evil is not a NEGATION, but some thing that men do.

PSM. 51: 4, "Against Thee, Thee only, have I sinned and DONE THIS EVIL in thy sight." Here the Psalmist HAD DONE IT, and GOD SAW IT.

It is something that men can discern.

Heb. 5: 14, "But strong meat belongeth to them that are of full age, even those who by reason of use have their senses exercised to discern both good and EVIL." Here Paul tells us that they that have their senses exercised, can discern Good, and EVIL. This may be the reason that Christian Science denies the fact of EVIL.

Rom. 7: 19, "But the EVIL which I would not, that I do."

1910 Edition, Chapt. 5. P. 103, L. 30, "In Christian Science man can do no harm, for Scientific thoughts are true thoughts, passing from God to man."

Do you see how well this teaching suits SINFUL human nature? In Christian Science you can lie, steal, commit adultery, swear, rob their fellow men, get drunk, and com-

mit all manner of the workings of the body, and they can do no harm. This is the undisputed teaching of Christian Science, according to the quotation above, and given by the author of Christian Science. Get the statement again. "**IN CHRISTIAN SCIENCE men can do NO HARM.**"

1 John 1: 8, "If we say that we HAVE NO SIN, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us."

Rom. 5: 12, "Wherefore as by one man SIN entered in to the world, and death by sin, and so death passed upon all men, for that ALL HAVE SINNED."

Here God tells us that something entered into the world that caused DEATH to PASS UPON ALL MEN, and that ALL MEN have done it. What is this if it is not EVIL? Let Christian Science answer. But no answer comes.

Now please notice what Mrs. Eddy says in the foregoing quotations.

"SIN is an ILLUSION, a NEGATIVE, UNREAL NOTHINGNESS, UNCONSCIOUS ERROR, an AWFUL DECEPTION, a DELUSION, a MIRAGE OF ERROR, a MORTAL BELIEF. There is NO SIN, MAN is INCAPABLE of SIN."

I have shown with each statement that the Bible teaches something very different from what Christian Science teaches, and that the teachings of Christian Science are not in harmony with the teaching of the Bible, nor from the God of the Bible. If you accept the teachings of Christian Science, you reject the Bible; But if you accept the teaching of the BIBLE, you deny Christian Science. Which do you accept?

CHAPTER XIII

SATAN

P. 248. L. 12. "Devil, DELUSION."

The Bible tells us that he is a PERSON.

Math. 4: 1, "Then was Jesus lead up of the spirit into the wilderness to be tempted of the DEVIL," Here he TEMPTS Jesus. 4: 5, "Then the DEVIL Taketh Him up into the holy city, and setteth Him on a pinnacle of the temple,,, Here he TEMPTS the Lord Himself. 4: 8, "Again the DEVIL took Him up into an exceeding high mountain." Here he is the tempter of our Lord.

Luke 4: 3, 6, "And the DEVIL said unto Him," Here he is talking to Jesus. "And the DEVIL said unto Him, all this power will I give to thee," Here the DEVIL is talking to Christ, and offers to Him the power and glory of the world, as it belonged to him, and Jesus did not deny the statement made by SATAN.

Rev. 20: 10, "And the DEVIL that deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where the beasts and the false prophets are, and shall be tormented day and night for ever and ever."

Heb. 2: 14, "Forasmuch then as the children are partakers of flesh and blood, He (Christ) also Himself likewise took part of the same: that through death He might destroy him that hath POWER over death, THAT IS, THE DEVIL."

The statements of the Scripture here are conclusive, that the Devil is not a DELUSION, but a PERSON. Which do you believe?

P. 187. L. 11, "ILLUSIVE PERSONIFICATION, named Satan."

The Bible does not teach this, but that he is a PERSON.

John 8: 44, "Ye are of your father the devil." The devil then had children, for this is the statement of Jesus Himself. Fatherhood is the characteristic of personality.

2 Cor. 11: 14, "Satan HIMSELF is transformed into an

angel of light."

PSM. 109: 6, "—LET SATAN STAND at his right hand."

Each of the above statements show the personality of Satan.

P. 406. L. 19, "Resist evil,—ERROR OF EVERY SORT —and it will flee from you."

Now notice the contradictions here. P. 71. L. 2. "EVIL HAS NO REALITY. It is neither person, place, or thing, but is simply a belief, an illusion of the material mind." If it has NO REALITY, then how resist it?

The Bible says in James 4: 7, "Resist the DEVIL, and HE will flee from you."

1 Peter 5: 8, "—Your adversary the DEVIL, as a roaring lion, WALKETH about, seeking whom HE may devour."

Math. 25: 41, "The devil and HIS angels."

In each of the above statements, we find PERSONALITY, and not as Mrs. Eddy says, "error of every sort."

P. 292. L. 22, "Ye are of your father, the devil,—EVIL."

Now notice her statement, P. 330. L. 29, "EVIL IS NOTHING CLAIMING TO BE SOMETHING." Now hear this in the light of her statement above. Ye are of your father, the NOTHING. Take the Bible statements here, and you will see that Mrs. Eddy is wrong.

Job 2: 2, 4, 6, 7, "And the Lord said unto SATAN, From whence comest thou? And SATAN ANSWERED the Lord, and said, from going to and fro in the earth, and from WALKING up and down in it.—And SATAN ANSWERED the Lord, and SAID, Skin for skin,—And the Lord said unto SATAN, behold he is in thine hands, but save his life.—So went SATAN forth from the presence of the Lord, and SMOTE Job with sore boils."

Here we find the Lord SPEAKING to SATAN, SATAN ANSWERING the Lord. Satan WALKING up and down through the earth. Satan in the presence of the Lord. Satan SMOTE Job with sore boils. Every statement showing personality.

In John 14: 30, Jesus calls him "the Prince of this world."

P. 462. L. 25, "We lose the high signification of omnipotence, when after admitting that God, or good, is omnipresent and has all power, WE STILL BELIEVE THERE IS ANOTHER, named evil."

Christian Science must believe there is, for she says on,

P. 292. L. 22, "Ye are of your father, the devil, EVIL." and in the quotation above she says, "We lose the high signification of omnipotence, when after admitting that God, or good, is omnipresent, WE STILL BELIEVE THERE IS ANOTHER POWER named evil."

Here she admits it but does not believe it. We believe it because the Bible says there is.

Rom. 7: 19, "For the good that I would I do not; but the EVIL which I would not, that I do."

Rom. 7: 21, "I find then a law, that, when I would do good, EVIL is ever present with me."

P. 469. L. 15, "The SUPPOSITIOUS OPPOSITE of infinite Mind— called devil or evil—is not TRUTH, but ERROR without intelligence or reality."

Here she means GOD. on P. 275. L. 12, "LIFE, TRUTH, and LOVE are the Scripture names for God." No one ever claimed the devil was God, but her theology makes God EVERY THING. **P. 257. L. 1.** "IF GOD is within and without all things, then ALL IS GOD." so since the DEVIL IS NOT GOD, she argued him out of existence, and makes him "ERROR, without intelligence or reality," but when we turn to the Bible we find Christian Science and the Bible do not agree at all.

Rev. 12: 9, "And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the DEVIL, and SATAN, which deceiveth the whole world; he was cast out into the earth."

1 John 3: 8, "He that committeth sin is of the DEVIL; for the DEVIL sinneth from the beginning. For this purpose the Son of God was manifested, that He might destroy the works of the DEVIL."

1 John 3: 10, "In this the children of God are manifested, and the children of the DEVIL."

Acts 13: 10, "—O full of all subtlety and all mischief, thou child of the DEVIL, thou enemy of all righteousness."

Here the Bible and Christian Science do not agree. Which do you believe? You cannot accept both, to accept one, means to reject the other.

P. 554. L. 20, "Jesus defines this opposite of God and His creation better than we can, when He said, "He is a liar, and the father of it," Jesus also said, "Have not I chosen you twelve, and one of you is a Devil, Jesus never intimated that God made a devil, but He did say, Ye are of your father, the devil! All these sayings were to show that mind in matter is the author of itself, and is simply a falsity and

an illusion."

Now the question for every honest person to settle, is; Did Jesus mean what He said, or did He mean what Mrs. Eddy says He meant? Jesus said in plain words, to be understood by the humblest, "Have not I chosen you twelve, and one of you is a devil," According to Christian Science, it would read, "Have not I chosen you twelve, and ONE OF YOU IS A FALSITY AND AN ILLUSION." Then one "was A FALSITY and an ILLUSION," Jesus never chose twelve as He said He did, but chose eleven.

Here she denies the personality of the devil. This is pure "Theosophy." One of the fundamental Axioms of Theosophy is this, "There is NO PERSONAL devil. That which is mystically called the devil is the negative and opposite of God. and whereas God is I AM, or positive Being, the devil IS NOT."

Christian Science calls itself, "The understanding of God." Which is the correct translation of the Greek word, "Theosophy." Here is the danger signal which should warn all from this system. Any "Wisdom of God," which throws Satan into eclipse has the clear imprint of the prince of darkness. For I am sure that Satan wishes to hide his identity more than any man ever tried to prove his.

But what saith the Scripture.

Acts 5: 3, "But Peter said, Annanias, why hath Satan filled thine heart to lie to the Holy Ghost?"

James 4: 7, "Resist the devil, and HE will flee from you."

Rev. 20: 10, "And the DEVIL that deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where the beasts and the false prophets are, and shall be tormented day and night forever and ever."

1 Peter 5: 8, "—Your adversary the DEVIL, as a roaring lion, WALKETH about, seeking whom HE may devour."

Mrs. Eddy refers to the devil as an "ILLUSIVE personification, delusion, evil, without intelligence or reality; the devil is simply a falsity and illusion.

Let us substitute some of her terms for the word devil, and see how it will read.

John 8: 44, "Ye are of your father the ILLUSION."

1 Peter. 5: 8, "Your adversary the ILLUSION, as a roaring lion, walketh about, seeking whom he may devour." How could an ILLUSION WALK?

Math. 25: 41, "The ILLUSION and HIS angels." Does

an ILLUSION have angels?

1 John 3: 8, "He that committeth sin is of the ILLUSION; for the ILLUSION sinneth from the beginning. For this purpose was the Son of God manifested, that He might destroy the works of the ILLUSION."

Rev. 3. 9, "Behold, I will make them of the synagogue of 'ILLUSION'."

PSM. 109: 6, "Let 'ILLUSION' stand at his right hand."

We might multiply quotations to show what a delusion Mrs. Eddy was laboring under when she was trying to prove the devil is an "illusion" but we have quoted enough. Scripture here to convince the seeker after TRUTH.

You will please note how positive the Bible speaks of the PERSONALITY of SATAN, by using the PERSONAL pronoun in most every instance.

This one thing is sure, if you accept the teaching of the Bible concerning Satan, you will reject the teaching of Christian Science, and if you accept the teaching of Christian Science, you will reject the Bible. Which will it be?

CHAPTER XIV JUDGMENT.

74th Edition, P. 187, "NO FINAL JUDGMENT AWAITS MORTALS."

The Bible says there is. Here Mrs. Eddy must be mistaken.

2 Peter 2: 9, "The Lord knoweth how—to reserve the unjust unto the day of JUDGMENT to be punished."

Acts 24: 25, "And he (Paul) reasoned of righteousness, temperance, and JUDGEMENT to come."

Acts 17: 31, "He (God) hath appointed a day, in which He will JUDGE the world."

Luke 10: 14, "It shall be more tolerable for Tyre and Sidon at the JUDGMENT than for you."

Heb. 9: 27, "--It is appointed unto men once to die, but after this the JUDGMENT."

Math. 13: 49, 50, "So shall it be at the END of the world; the angels shall come forth, and sever the wicked from among the just, and shall cast them into the furnace of fire: there shall be wailing and gnashing of teeth."

Now read the great picture as given in Math. 25: 31-46.

P. 311. L. 8. "Is man lost spiritually? No, he can only lose a sense material."

P. 311. L. 12, "It is a sense of sin, and not a sinful soul which is lost."

In the above quotations, Mrs. Eddy declares, that man is NOT lost spiritually; And that "It IS A SENSE OF SIN, AND NOT A SINFUL SOUL, that is lost." Let us take the testimony of the Bible, and hear what it says.

Ezk. 18: 4, "--The SOUL that SINNETH, IT shall DIE."

Math. 10: 28, "And fear not them which kill the body, but are not able to KILL THE SOUL."

Math. 16: 26, "For what is a man profited, if he shall gain the whole world; and LOSE HIS OWN SOUL? Or what shall a man give in exchange for his SOUL?"

You will see in her system of religion, if soul is lost, her God is lost, for in Christian Science, God is SOUL, and SOUL is God. P. 335. L. 16, "SOUL and Spirit being one, God and SOUL are one." This we have answered with the Bible under the head of SOUL.

The Bible statements are conclusive here, which do you accept?

CHAPTER XV MIRACLES.

P. 83, L. 12. "MIRACLES ARE IMPOSSIBLE IN SCIENCE" Christian Science, and here Science takes issue with the popular religions."

P. 123, L. 24. "SO-CALLED MIRACLES of JESUS."

Now let us hear what the word of God says about this.

Numbers 14: 32, "Because all those men which have seen my glory, and my Miracles, WHICH I DID in Egypt and in the wilderness."

Deut. 29: 3, "The great temptations which thine eyes have seen, the signs, and those GREAT MIRACLES."

John 2: 11, "This beginning of MIRACLES did Jesus in Cana of Galilee."

John 2: 23, "Now when He was in Jerusalem at the Passover, in the feast day, many believed in His name, when THEY SAW the MIRACLES which HE DID."

John 3: 2, "The same came to Jesus by night, and said unto Him, Rabbi, we know that thou art a teacher come from God; for no man COULD DO these MIRACLES that THOU DOEST, except God be with him."

John 11: 47, "Then gathered the chief priests and the Pharisees a council, and said, What do we? for this man doeth many MIRACLES."

Peter in his Pentecostal sermon said, "Ye men of Israel hear these words; Jesus of Nazareth, a man approved of God among you by MIRACLES and wonders and signs, which God did by Him in the midst of you, as ye yourselves also know."

You must keep in mind what she says,

P. 497. L. 3 "As adherents of truth, we take the inspired Word of the Bible as our sufficient guide to eternal life."

And here she says she "takes issue with popular religion." You will see that she not only takes issue with popular religion but with the BIBLE. Which do you accept as being true?

CHAPTER XVI

HEAVEN

74th, Edition, P. 187, "Heaven is not a locality, but a state in which Mind and all the manifestations of Mind are harmonized."

The Scripture says heaven is a locality.

John 14: 1, 2, "In My Father's HOUSE are many mansions; if it were not so I would have told you. I go to prepare a PLACE for you."

2 Kings 2: 11, "Elijah went up by a whirlwind INTO HEAVEN."

2 Chron. 6: 19-21, "O Lord my God—harken unto the cry and the prayer which Thy servant prayeth before Thee —HEAR, THOU FROM THY DWELLING PLACE, EVEN FROM HEAVEN."

Jesus Christ speaks from heaven and closes the issue concerning locality.

Rev. 3: 21, "I AM SET DOWN WITH MY FATHER IN HIS THRONE."

P. 242. L. 1, "Heaven, ETERNAL HARMONY."

P. 242. L. 9, "There is but one way to heaven, HARMONY, and Christ in divine Science shows us this way."

Heaven is not "Eternal HARMONY" as Mrs. Eddy says, but Heaven is a PLACE. Psm. 103: 19, : "The Lord hath prepared His throne in the HEAVENS."

John 3: 13, "And no man hath ascended UP to HEAVEN, but he that came DOWN from heaven, even the Son of man which is IN HEAVEN."

Matt. 24: 35, "Heaven and earth shall pass away." Is earth a place? then HEAVEN is a place.

Isa. 66: 1, "Thus saith the Lord. The HEAVEN is MY THRONE."

We have proved her first statement to be untrue. Her second is just as absurd. Christ does not show us the way, He IS THE WAY.

John 14: 6, "Jesus saith unto him, I AM THE WAY,
NO MAN COMETH UNTO THE FATHER BUT BY ME."

I am sure that it would be interesting, were it not so ridiculous to substitute her statements for the word heaven, in a few of the passages of Scripture.

Matt. 24: 29, 30, "Immediately after the tribulation of those days shall the sun be darkened, and the moon shall not give her light, and the stars shall fall from 'a divine state of mind'—and the powers of the—'harmony of being'—shall be shaken; and then shall appear the sign—'idea of God'—coming in the clouds of a—'divine state of mind'—with power and great glory."

Rev. 21: 1-3, "And I saw a new—'harmony of being'—and a new—'illusion';—for the first—'divine state of mind'—and the first—'illusion'—were passed away and there was no more sea. And I John saw the Holy City, New Jerusalem, coming down from—'principle'—out of—'harmony of being'—prepared as a bride for her husband. And I heard a great voice out of—'a divine state of mind'—saying, behold the tabernacle of—'principle'—is with men, and He shall dwell with them, and they shall be His people, and—'principle'—Himself shall be with them, and He shall be their—'principle'."

CHAPTER XVII RESURRECTION.

Miscellanies, P. 201. "When Jesus REPRODUCED His body after its burial."

Christian Science teaches the REPRODUCTION of a non-existing body, and not the RESURRECTION of the actual body of Christ. But the Scripture teaches nothing of the REPRODUCTION, but of the RESURRECTION of the BODY.

1 Cor. 15: 3, 4, "For I delivered unto you first of all that which I also RECEIVED, how that Christ DIED for our sins according to the Scriptures; and that He was buried, and that He ROSE again the third day according to the Scriptures."

This teaches four things. 1. CHRIST DIED. 2, He DIED for our sins. 3, He was BURIED. 4, He ROSE from the dead.

Isa. 26: 19, "MY DEAD BODY SHALL ARISE."

74th Edition, P. 490, "Our Master reappeared to His students; that is, TO THEIR APPREHENSION. ..He rose on the third day of His ASCENDING THOUGHT."

This is repeating what she has already stated, that the resurrection of Christ was not the resurrection of the body, but the higher raising of His thoughts. But let the Scripture speak here.

Matt. 17: 23, "And they shall kill Him, and the third day He shall be RAISED again."

After His resurrection, we have the testimony of the women that met Him.

Matt. 28: 9, "All hail. And they came and HELD HIM BY THE FEET, and worshipped Him."

It was Jesus whom they held by the feet, and not His ASCENDING THOUGHT.

It was Jesus, not an idea who met them.

74th Edition, P. 347, "The resurrection of the great

demonstrator of God's power was the proof of His final triumph over body and matter."

The Bible plainly declares that the resurrection of Christ was not the triumph OVER THE BODY, but the triumph OF THE BODY OF CHRIST OVER DEATH.

Luke 24: 39, "Behold my HANDS and my FEET, that it is I MYSELF; handle me, and see, for a spirit hath not FLESH and BONES as ye see me have."

Here the Master drew the attention of the disciples to His HANDS and FEET: and hands and feet belong to body. But again, Isa. 26: 19, "MY DEAD BODY SHALL ARISE."

John 20: 27, "Reach hither thy finger, and behold My HANDS; and reach hither thy hand, and thrust it into My SIDE: and be not faithless but believing."

Acts 10: 40, 41, "Him God RAISED UP the third day, and showed Him openly; not to all the people, but unto all the witnesses chosen before of God, even to us, WHO DID EAT AND DRINK WITH HIM AFTER HE ROSE FROM THE DEAD."

74th, Edition, P. 572. "Resurrection, SPIRITUALIZATION of THOUGHT; MATERIAL BELIEF, YIELDING TO SPIRITUAL UNDERSTANDING."

In this quotation Christian Science denies the resurrection of Christ and the resurrection of the dead. Over against this denial which would destroy the body of Christ forever, let us place the Scripture.

1 Cor. 15: 20, "NOW IS CHRIST RISEN FROM THE DEAD."

Isa. 26: 19, "MY DEAD BODY SHALL RISE."

Matt. 17: 23, "And they shall kill Him, and the third day He SHALL BE RAISED again."

74th, Edition, 351. "He proved to the physical sense, after His resurrection, that His body was not changed until He Himself ascended,—or, in other words, ROSE EVEN HIGHER IN THE UNDERSTANDING OF THE SPIRIT."

In this quotation, she makes the ASCENSION of Christ a "RISING INTO A HIGHER UNDERSTANDING OF THE SPIRIT." The Bible says the ascension of Christ, was the rising up from this earth to heaven.

Luke 24: 50, 51, "And He lead them out as far as to Bethany, and He lifted up His hands, and blessed them.—And it came to pass while He blessed them, He was parted from them, and CARRIED UP INTO HEAVEN."

1 Peter 3: 22, "Jesus Christ, WHO IS GONE INTO

HEAVEN, and is on the right hand of God."

P. 292. L. 7, "TRUTH will be to us the resurrection and the life."

Christian Science and the Scripture do not agree here.

John 11: 25, "JESUS said unto her, I AM THE RESURRECTION and THE LIFE."

The Scripture teaches that JESUS is the resurrection and the life.

P. 295. L. 27. "Brainology—teaches that when a man dies, his immortal soul IS RESURRECTED FROM DEATH and IMMORTALITY. This error theorizes—that man HAS a resurrection from the dust."

Why does she depart from the Bible, and give us BRAINOLOGY here as her theology, when she declares "that as adherents of the truth they take the inspired word of the Bible as their sufficient guide." We are sure she never found this teaching in the Bible, so she calls it brainology. But let the Bible speak here.

John 5: 28, 29, "And shall come forth; they that have done good, unto the RESURRECTION of life: and they that have done evil, unto the RESURRECTION of damnation."

Acts 24: 15, "There shall be a RESURRECTION of the DEAD, both of the just and unjust."

Isa. 26: 19, "My DEAD body shall arise."

P. 291. L. 25, "No resurrection from the grave awaits mind or life, for the grave has no power over either."

This statement is no exception to the statements made by Mrs. Eddy, for in all my study of Christian Science literature, I have not found one place where she refers to a Bible doctrine that she does not contradict it. Here she declares there is not resurrection from the dead.

1 Cor. 15: 12- 14, 35-38, 42-44, 51-53, "Now if Christ be preached that He rose from the dead, how say some among you that there is NO resurrection of the dead? But if there be no resurrection of the dead, then is Christ not risen: And if Christ be not risen, then is our preaching vain, and your faith is also vain.—But some men will say, How are the dead raised up? and with what body do they come? Thou fool, that which thou sowest is not quickened, except it die:—and that which thou sowest, thou sowest not that body that shall be, but bear grain, it may chance of wheat or some other grain. But God giveth it a body as it hath pleased Him, and to every seed his own body. So also is

the resurrection of the dead. It is sown in corruption, it is raised in incorruption: It is sown in dishonor; it is raised in glory: it is sown in weakness; it is raised in power: It is sown a natural body; it is raised a spiritual body. There is a natural body, and there is a spiritual body. Behold, I show you a mystery; We shall not all sleep, but we shall all be changed. In a moment, in the twinkling of an eye, at the last trump; for the trumpet shall sound, and the dead shall be raised incorruptible, and we shall be changed. For this corruptible must put on incorruption, and this mortal must put on immortality."

The Scripture speaks with no uncertain sound here. Now which do you believe? The Scripture or Christian Science? You cannot accept them both.

CHAPTER XVIII

DISEASE

74th Edition, P. 46, "You say a boil is painful; but that is impossible. The boil simply manifests your belief in pain."

Take the testimony of the Scripture here.

2 Kings 20: 1, 5, 7, "In those days was Hezekiah sick unto death. And the prophet Isaiah the son of Amoz came to him, and said unto him, thus saith the Lord, Set thine house in order; for thou shalt DIE, and not LIVE.—Turn again and tell Hezekiah the captain of my people, Thus saith the Lord, The God of David, I have heard thy prayer, I have seen thy tears: behold I will heal thee: On the third day thou shalt go up into the house of the Lord.—And Isaiah said take a lump of figs, And they took and laid it on the BOIL and he recovered."

Here we learn that Hezekiah was sick. Sick unto death. This sickness was caused by a BOIL.

Job 2: 7, "So went Satan forth from the presence of the Lord, and smote Job with SORE BOILS from the sole of his foot unto his crown."

Job had BOILS. They were SORE BOILS. Some pain surely.

74th Edition, P. 415, "Sickness IS A DREAM from which the patient needs to be awakened."

74th Edition, P. 447. "Sickness IS AN ILLUSION."

The Bible says sickness is some thing real. Neither a DREAM nor an ILLUSION.

Ish. 38: 1, "In those days was Hezekiah SICK unto DEATH. And Isaiah the prophet son of Amoz came unto him, and saith unto him. Thus saith the Lord, set thine house in order, for thou SHALT DIE, and not live."

Here we find that the King was sick. The sickness was known to the prophet. So it was not a dream of the King, but known to the prophet who came to him. It was not an

ILLUSION, for others knew that the King was sick.

Dan. 8: 27, "And I Daniel fainted and WAS SICK certain days."

Daniel says he WAS SICK. So SICK he FAINTED.

Mark 1: 30, "But Simon's wife's mother lay SICK with a FEVER."

Not a DREAM, but a FEVER. Not an ILLUSION, but a REALITY.

Ex. 15: 26, "And said, If thou wilt diligently harken to the voice of the Lord thy God, and wilt do that which is right in His sight, and wilt give ear to His commandments, and keep all His statutes, I will put none of these DISEASES upon thee, which I have brought upon the Egyptians; for I am the Lord that healeth thee."

Here we find that it is not an ILLUSION, but something that God does send on the people.

74th Edition, P. 401. "The sick through BELIEF, have induced their own STIFF joints and COMPACT muscles."

Deut. 28: 35, "The Lord shall SMITE thee in the KNEES and in the LEGS, with a SORE botch that cannot be healed, from the sole of thy foot unto the top of thy head."

74th, Edition, P. 410, "ARGUE with the patient that HE HAS NO DISEASE."

Christian Science claim to get rid of disease, by "Arguing with the patient that he has NO DISEASE." Christian Science would try to make the patient believe that which was not true, if the Bible is true. Here let us take the testimony of the Bible.

Mark 1: 30, "But Simon's wife's mother lay SICK OF a FEVER."

The Bible says she was SICK.

2 Kings 13: 14, "Now Elisha was fallen SICK of his SICKNESS, whereof he died."

Elisha WAS fallen SICK, and DIED from the SICKNESS.

Ish. 38: 1, "In those days was Hezekiah SICK unto death."

Do you suppose that any amount of ARGUING that they were not sick, could have changed the fact, and saved the lives of Elisha and Hezekiah?

Deut. 7: 15, "And the Lord will take away from thee all SICKNESS, and will put none of the evil diseases of Egypt, which thou knowest, upon thee; but will lay them

upon all them that hate thee."

Here the Lord takes Sickness away from some, NOT ARGUES it away, as Mrs. Eddy tells Christian Scientists to do. He not only takes it from some, but LAYS it on others. Would Christian Science get it from them by ARGUING with them that THEY HAD NO DISEASE. The Bible says the Lord LAID ON THEM.

The Lord tells the children of Israel that He will SEND disease and SICKNESS because of their disobedience.

Deut. 28: 59-61, "Then the Lord will make thy plagues wonderful, and the plagues of thy seed, even great plagues, and of long continuance, and sore SICKNESS, and of long continuance.—Moreover He will bring upon thee all the diseases of Egypt, which thou wast afraid of; and they shall cleave unto thee.—Also every SICKNESS, and every PLAGUE, which is not written in the book of the law, them will the Lord BRING upon thee."

74th Edition, P. 392. "Man is NEVER SICK."

If Christian Science is true, then our hospitals are filled with hearty, sound, robust, well people. Just working a fraud on their friends. They are having a picnic and a delightful time, while their friends all the while think they are suffering. You doubtless know that Christian Science builds no hospitals. Gives no sympathy to the suffering. Feeds no hungry. Their delusive teaching has taken all the human sympathy out of them, and as was said to the writer of this book by a Scientist, "They look on such deluded folks with a kind of scorn, saying, why don't you listen to Christian Science and be well?"

The Bible does not say Man is NEVER SICK.

2 Chron. 21: 15, "And thou shalt HAVE great SICKNESS."

Dan. 8: 27, "And I Daniel fainted and WAS SICK certain days."

Deut. 28: 61, "Also every SICKNESS,—will the Lord bring upon thee."

Mark 1: 33, 34, "And HE (JESUS) healed many that were SICK of divers diseases."

Ish. 38: 1, "In those days was Hezekiah SICK unto death."

74th Edition, P. 385, "CATARRH, RHEUMATISM, or CONSUMPTION are not because of climate, but ON ACCOUNT OF BELIEF."

BIBLE. Deut. 28: 22, "The Lord SHALL smite thee

with a CONSUMPTION, and with a fever, and with an inflammation, and with extreme burning."

The testimony of all who have had experience with consumptives, is that they do not believe they have the disease, even while they are passing away.

The Bible tells us it is not a FALSE BELIEF, but something that God sends on the people.

1910 Edition, Chap. 7, P. 176. "ALL disease is the RESULT of EDUCATION, and disease can carry its ill-effect no farther than mortal mind maps out the way."

Take the first part of this quotation, "All disease is the result of education," Let the Bible testify here, and you will readily see that this statement is not true.

Deut. 28: 59-61, "Then the LORD WILL MAKE thy plagues wonderful, and the plague of thy seed, even great plagues, and of long continuance, and sore SICKNESS,— Moreover He will BRING UPON thee all the diseases of Egypt,—and they shall cleave unto thee, Also every SICKNESS, and every PLAGUE,—will the LORD BRING UPON THEE."

Deut. 28: 22, "The Lord will SMITE thee with consumption, and with a fever, and with an inflammation, and with extreme burning."

The Scripture here shows that Disease is not the result of education as Mrs. Eddy teaches, and would have you believe.

Ezk. 5: 17, "So will I send upon you famine,—and pestilence."

If all disease is the result of education, what is it that snatches from our loving embrace the darling child?

Now take the last part of the quotation. "Disease can carry its ill-effects no farther than mortal mind maps out the way."

How can disease then be in garments? Is a garment mortal mind? The Bible tells us it will be there.

Lev. 13: 51, 52, "And he shall look on the plague on the seventh day; if the plague be spread in the GARMENT, either in the warp or in the woof, or in a skin, or in any work that is made of skin; the plague IS A FRETTING LEPROSY; it is unclean. He shall therefore burn that garment,— wherein the PLAGUE IS, for it is a FRETTING LEPROSY."

Again. If Disease can carry its ill-effects no farther than mortal mind maps out the way, How can disease be in the walls of the house? Are they mortal? The Bible tells

us it will be there.

Lev. 14: 34-45, "—When ye be come into the land of Canaan, which I give to you for a possession, and I PUT THE PLAGUE of leprosy in a house of the land of your possession; And he that owneth the house shall come and tell the priest, saying, It seemeth to me there is as it were a plague in the house; Then the priest shall command that they empty the house, before the priest go into it to see the plague, that all that is in the house be not made unclean: and afterward the priest shall go in to see the house; And he shall look on the plague, and, behold, if the plague be in the walls of the house with hollowed strakes, greenish or reddish, which in sight are lower than the walls; Then the priest shall go out of the house to the door of the house, and shut up the house seven days: And the priest shall come again the seventh day, and shall look: and behold, if the plague be spread in the walls of the house; Then the priest shall command that they take away the stones in which the plague is, and they shall cast them into an unclean place without the city;—Then the priest shall come and look, and behold, if the plague be spread in the house, it is a fretting leprosy in the house, it is unclean."

74th Edition, P. 472. "The less mind there is manifested in matter, the better. When the UNTHINKING LOBSTER loses his claw, it grows again. If the science of life were understood, it would be found that the senses of mind are never lost, and that matter has no sensation. ..Then the human limb (supposing it were lost through sickness, disease, or accident) would be replaced as readily as the lobsters claw—not with an artificial limb, but with a GENUINE ONE."

If there is no such thing as matter; and Christian Science says there is not; Eighth Edition, Vol. 2. PL 147, "Science decides matter of the mortal body to BE NOTHING BUT A BELIEF AN ILLUSION." This being true, the lobster had no real body, and therefore no claw, and how could he lose that which he did not have, and also, how could he replace it with another, when another cannot exist. The natural conclusion is that the person who has NO MIND AT ALL, and ALL IDIOTS would be immune from disease.

Then the reason the stump-tail dog does not grow another tail, is because the dog has too much mind, and is thinking too much about his tail.

1910 Edition, Chap. 7. P. 177, "Human mind produces

what is termed organic disease."

Deut. 28: 35, "The Lord will SMITE thee in the knees, and in the legs, with a sore blotch that cannot be healed, from the sole of thy foot unto the top of thy head."

Deut. 28: 60, "Moreover He (the Lord) will bring upon thee all the diseases of Egypt."

Deut. 28: 22, "The Lord will SMITE thee with consumption, and with a fever, and with an inflammation."

The Bible very plainly tells us that HUMAN MIND DOES NOT produce what is termed organic disease.

You must accept the Bible and deny Christian Science; or

You must accept Christian Science, and deny the Bible, there is no other choice. You cannot accept both, as they are diametrically opposed.

CHAPTER XIX CONTRADICTIONS

P. 345. L. 13, "In this volume of mine there are no contradictory statements."

I am going to ask the reader to follow the statements quoted here, and we will prove this statement to be untrue, by giving many contradictory statements from Mrs. Eddy's own books.

P. 393. L. 29, "MAN IS NEVER SICK, for mind is not, and matter cannot be." But listen now to the next statement she makes. "It is well to BE CALM IN SICKNESS: to be hopeful is still better," again. "The author has restored health in cases of both acute and chronic diseases in their severest form." Now if MAN IS NEVER SICK, how could she perform these supposed cures?

P. 159. L. 11, "Is the skillful or scientific surgery to take no heed of material conditions, and treat the patient as if she was so much MINDLESS MATTER, and as if matter were the only factor to be consulted?"

Now listen to her contradict herself. **P. 281. L. 18,** "The MIND supposed to exist in matter or beneath the skull bone is a MYTH."

P. 120. L. 12, "Is a man well if his senses say he is sick? YES." To an undeceived person, this looks like lying, but in Christian Science there is no such thing as lying. Listen to her statement here. **P. 464. L. 27,** "If from any injury or from any cause, a Christian Scientist were seized with pain." Here even a Christian Scinetist may be seized with pain.

P. 293. L. 32, "THE FIVE PHYSICAL SENSES ARE THE AVENUES and the instruments of human error." Mrs. Eddy claims to have healed persons from almost every ailment that the human family is subject to. Now how does she know she has performed these healings, if the physical senses are not to be relied on? Now hear what she says. **P. 278. L. 5,** "The material senses oppose this, BUT THERE

ARE NO MATERIAL SENSES." This contradicts her statement, "The five physical senses are the avenues." If there are no material senses, then how can the senses tell one they are sick or well?

P. 244. L. 3, "Sin, sickness, and death are illusions of the mortal mind." She also says that "Mortal mind is an illusion," If this is so, then sin, sickness, and death are illusions of an illusion.

P. 447. L. 24, she says, "THERE IS NO SIN." P. 480. L. 19, "Man is incapable of sin." Now notice how she contradicts these statements. P. 6. L. 1, "We cannot escape the penalty due sin." P. 291. L. 23, "Probation growth after death is needed to get rid of sin." P. 36. L. 4, "Sufficient suffering before and after death, is necessary to quench the love of sin." P. 37. L. 2. "They who sin must be punished."

In these quotations, she says there is no sin, then she says, sin must be punished. She says, there is no death, then she says, Sin must be punished after death, and you must keep in mind that she says, P. 345. L. 13, "In this volume of mine there are NO CONTRADICTORY statements." Do you believe it?

P. 393. L. 29, "Matter cannot be sick." Now notice what she says P. 110. L. 2, "Contradict forever the belief that MATTER can be actual." She seems to be serious here, but we can hardly believe that she is honest.

P. 80. L. 27, "MORTAL MIND produces TABLE-TIPPING as certainly as table-settings." Her belief in table-tippings remains with her. When she was a Spiritualist and practiced such things, she says, "Mortal MIND tips the table." Now hear what she says. "The PHRASE MORTAL MIND implies something UNTRUE and therefore UNREAL." Now does mortal mind tip the table, or does it not? On, P. 71. L. 25, "I NEVER COULD BELIEVE in Spiritualism." She could not believe in it, and yet she practiced it. Now if she practiced something that she could NEVER believe, can we believe that she is honest when she practices Christian Science?

P. 291. L. 12, "Universal salvation rests on progression and probation, and is unattainable without them." Now hear her contradict this statement. P. 428. L. 22, "The great spiritual fact must be brought out that MAN IS, NOT shall be, PEPRFECT AND IMMORTAL." If MAN IS PERFECT AND IMMORTAL, how can he progress further?

P. 291. L. 23, "Probation and growth after death is

needed to get rid of sin." Now hear her statement. P. 339. L. 28, "To get rid of sin through Science is to divest sin of any supposed mind or reality.

P. 6. L. 1, "We cannot escape the penalty due sin," then she says, P. 447. L. 22, "There is NO SIN."

P. 278. L. 28, "All that we term sin, sickness, and death is a MORTAL BELIEF." Then she says, P. 478. L. 30, "Man is NOT MORTAL."

P. 5. L. 23, "Sin is forgiven only as it is destroyed by Christ." Then she says, on P. 447. L. 24, "THERE IS NO SIN."

P. 373. L. 5, "It is easier to cure the most malignant disease than it is to cure sin. The author has raised up the dying, partly because they were willing to be restored, while she has struggled long, and perhaps in vain to lift a student out of chronic sin." Please note the contradictory statements here. "It is easier to cure the most malignant disease, than it is to cure sin." On Page 188. L. 3, "What is termed disease DOES NOT EXIST." Then how could she cure the most malignant disease, if it does not exist? Then again, P. 447. L. 22, "THERE IS NO SIN." Now how about her statement, "It is easier to cure the most malignant disease, than it is to cure SIN." How can she cure something that does not exist?

P. 419. L. 8, "If your patient from any cause suffers a RELAPSE." Then she says, P. 419. L. 22, "A RELAPSE CANNOT REALLY OCCUR."

P. 401. L. 27, "It is better for Christian Science to leave surgery and the adjustment of broken bones and dislocations to the FINGERS OF A SURGEON." Then she tells us there is no such thing. P. 402. L. 15, "In SCIENCE, NO BREAKAGE NOR DISLOCATIONS can really OCCUR."

P. 497. L. 3, "As adherents of TRUTH, we take the inspired word of the Bible as our sufficient guide to eternal life." Then hear what she says about the Bible, P. 170, "The material record of the Bible is NO MORE IMPORTANT to our well being than the history of Europe and America." Here you see how she takes the Bible as her sufficient guide, and the importance she puts on it.

P. 77. L. 9, "DEATH WILL OCCUR on the NEXT PLANE of existence AS ON THIS, until the spiritual understanding of life is reached." Then she says, P. 244. L. 3, "DEATH is an ILLUSION, THE MIRAGE OF ERROR." If death is "an illusion," can an illusion bring mortals to

"spiritual understanding of life?" But she says again, P. 426. L. 29, "Man is immortal, and the BODY CANNOT DIE." and again, on P. 188. L. 12, "DEATH IS A DREAM." Then her direct contradiction, as on P. 644. L. 1, "Man is the REFLECTION OF GOD." then P. 305. L. 27, "Because man is the REFLECTION of his Maker, he is NOT SUBJECT TO DEATH." Some contradictions here, are there not?

P. 428. L. 22, "The great spiritual fact must be brought out that MAN IS, not SHALL BE, PERFECT AND IMMORTAL." Now listen to her contradict this statement. P. 476. L. 13, "Mortals are not FALLEN children of God. THEY NEVER HAD A PERFECT STATE OF BEING.—They were from the beginning of mortal history, "CONCEIVED IN SIN and brought forth IN INIQUITY." Some contradiction here.

CHAPTER XX

THE BIBLE, OR SCIENCE AND HEALTH. WHICH?

We here propose to show you the contrast, and estimation that Mrs. Eddy and Christian Scientists places on the Bible and Science and Health. You will see that the Bible is not their guide, but what Mrs. Eddy teaches, is always authority with them. To the Christian Scientist, the Bible is a book of "**LIES, MYTHS, ERRORS, ALLEGORIES, FABLES, METAPHORS,**" etc., according to the teachings of Mrs. Eddy.

P. 497. L. 3, "As adherents of truth, we take the inspired Word of the Bible as our sufficient guide to eternal life." We will prove to you in this chapter, that they do not take the inspired word of the Bible, but rather, the words of "Science and Health," which is their GUIDE to eternal life.

Miscellanies Writings, P. 170, "The material record of the Bible IS NO MORE IMPORTANT TO OUR WELL-BEING than the HISTORY OF EUROPE and AMERICA." Now hear what she says about the importance of her book. P. 456. L. 25, "A Christian Scientist requires my work on Science and Health for his textbook, and so do all his students and patients. Why? First; Because it IS THE VOICE OF TRUTH TO THIS AGE, and contains the full statement of Christian Science, or the Science THROUGH MIND. Second; Because it registered the REVEALED TRUTH UNCONTAMINATED ..BY HUMAN HYPOTHESIS. Third; Because this book has DONE MORE FOR TEACHER AND STUDENT, for the healer and patient, than has been accomplished by other books." Do these statements not show that to the Christian Scientist, Science and Health is their text-book and GUIDE, and not the BIBLE?

P. 139. L. 15, "The decision by vote of Church councils as to what should and should not be considered Holy Writ; the MANIFEST MISTAKES in the ancient versions; the THIRTY THOUSAND DIFFERENT READINGS in the Old

Testament, and the THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND in the New, these facts show how a MORTAL AND MATERIAL SENSE STOLE INTO the divine record with its hue darkening to some extent the inspired pages." The foregoing quotation says that "mortal and material sense STOLE into DIVINE records," thus the Bible has "MANIFEST MISTAKES," But not so with Mrs. Eddy's book. P. 232. L. 32, "In this volume of mine, there is NEITHER PLACE NOR OPPORTUNITY for ERROR of any sort," and again she says, "345. L. 13, "In this volume of mine there are NO CONTRADICTORY STATEMENTS." Here she makes the Bible a book of Errors, and her book INFALLIBLE.

Let us take just a few of her Scriptural interpretations, and see if you can harmonize them with the Scripture. Gen. 1: 1, "In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth." P. 503. L. 1, "Creation consists of the UNFOLDING OF SPIRITUAL IDEAS and their IDENTITIES, which are embraced in the infinite Mind and forever REFLECTED. These IDEAS range from the infinitesimal to infinity and the HIGHEST IDEAS ARE THE SONS AND DAUGHTERS OF GOD." Is this taking the Bible as her guide?

In Genesis 2: 7, we read "And the Lord God formed man out of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life, and man became a living soul." Mrs. Eddy says here, P. 524. L. 24. "Is this addition to his creation real or unreal? Is it the truth, or is it a lie concerning God and man? IT MUST BE A LIE." Do not forget that she says, "As adherents of truth, we take the inspired word of God as our sufficient guide." But here she makes the Word of God teach a LIE. But again she says, P. 526. L. 24, "This second Biblical account is a PICTURE OF ERROR THROUGHOUT." Her book is infallible, but the Bible accounts, are ERROR THROUGHOUT. How can she take such a book as her "SUFFICIENT GUIDE TO ETERNAL LIFE?"

In Gen. 2: 6, we read, "There went up a mist from the earth, and it watered the whole face of the ground." Hear what Mrs. Eddy says about this, P. 546. L. 14, "This represents ERROR as starting from an idea of good on a material basis. It supposes God and man to be manifested only through the corporeal senses although the material sense can take no cognizance of Spirit or the spiritual idea." P. 521. L. 23, "The second chapter of Genesis contains a statement of the material view of God and the universe, a state-

ment which is the exact opposite of the scientific truth as before recorded. ..The HISTORY OF ERROR or matter, if veritable, would set aside the omnipotence of Spirit; but it is the FALSE HISTORY in contradiction to the true."

P. 522. L. 25, "This latter part of the second part of Genesis, which portrays Spirit as SUPPOSEDLY co-operating with matter in constructing the universe, is based on some HYPOTHESIS OF ERROR." P. 523. L. 6, "Although presenting the exact opposite of truth, the LIE claims to be truth, the CREATION OF MATTER ARISES from a MIST or FALSE CLAIM." This does not look as though she takes the Bible as her sufficient guide.

Of Gen. 2: 16, 17, she says, P. 27, L. 11, "Here THE METAPHOR represents God as TEMPTING MAN." Of Gen. 2: 19, she says, P. 527. L. 26, "Here THE LIE represents God as repeating creation,—asking a prospective sinner to help him." Of Gen. 3: 4, 5, she says P. 530. L. 17, "THIS MYTH represents ERROR as always asserting its superiority over truth.—The history of error is a DREAM narrative." Of Gen. 3: 9, 10, she says, P. 523. L. 28, "In the ALLEGORY the body has been naked." and on P. 537. L. 19, "No one can possibly doubt that the purpose of this ALLEGORY—this second account in Gen.—is to depict the FALSITY OF ERROR." In commenting on Gen. 1: 11, she says, P. 543, L. 21, "Minerals and vegetables are found, according to divine Science, to be the CREATION of ERROR-EOUS THOUGHTS." And of Gen. 3: 22, she says, P. 545. L. 3, "This could NOT BE THE UTTERANCE OF TRUTH or science, for according to the record, material man was fast degenerating, and NEVER HAD been divinely conceived. On P. 457. L. 28. "Man is INCAPABLE OF SIN." Then listen to P. 428. L. 22, "The great spiritual fact must be brought out that MAN IS, NOT SHALL BE, PERFECT and IMMORTAL." I am sure that the undeceived person will see the absurdity here. Nothing but CONTRADICTIONS.

Of Genesis 1: 9, she says, P. 506. L. 15, "And God said, let the waters under the heaven be gathered together unto one place, and let the dry land appear; and it was so. Spirit, God, GATHERS UNIFORM THOUGHTS into their proper channels and UNFOLDS THESE THOUGHTS, even as he opens the petals of a holy purpose in order that the purpose may appear." Do you detect any similarity between the Scripture as recorded in Gen. 1: 9, and the quotation of Mrs. Eddy? Surely none. According to Mrs. Eddy

our Oceans and Seas, are only bodies of Uniform Thoughts.

Take another Scripture passage, P. 20. L. 27, "St. Paul wrote, 'Let us lay aside every weight, and the sin that doth so easily beset us, and let us run with patience the race that is set before us; that is, LET US PUT ASIDE MATERIAL SELF and SENSE, and SEEK THE DIVINE PRINCIPAL and SCIENCE OF HEALING.'" This is not what the Bible says at all, it is what Mrs. Eddy says. But again, P. 216. L. 28, "When you say man's body is material, I say with Paul: Be willing rather to be absent from the body, and be present with the Lord.' GIVE UP YOUR BELIEF IN MIND AND MATTER." Paul never said the last. "Give up your belief in mind and matter," Mrs Eddy said that, and not the Bible. But again, P. 324. L. 27, "Paul writes, 'If Christ be not risen, then is our preaching vain.' That is, if the IDEA of supremacy of Spirit, which is the true conception of being, come not to your thought, you cannot be benefited by what I say." Here again, Paul never said what Mrs. Eddy says he did. Paul is talking of the resurrection of Jesus Christ from the dead, and not the idea of supremacy of Spirit, as Mrs. Eddy would have him say.

P. 545. L. 11, "The notion of a material universe is utterly opposed to the theory of man as evolved from mind." The Bible tells us that man was not evolved from mind, but CREATED by God Himself, as we have shown in the chapter on Man.

P. 545. L. 21. "The translators of this record of scientific creation entertained a false sense of being. They believed in the existence of matter, its propagation and power." Now if the translators gave us the Bible, and they "entertained a false sense of belief," how can Mrs. Eddy take "The Bible as her sufficient guide," and she says she does. Then she says, P. 547. L. 3, "If one of the statements of this book is true,—she means Science and Health—every one must be true." We wonder if she would admit the opposite. If one of the statements was false, every one must be false?

P. 127. L. 26, "SCIENCE is an EMENATION OF DIVINE MIND, and is ALONE ABLE TO INTERPRET GOD ARIGHT. IT HAS A SPIRITUAL, and not a MATERIAL ORIGIN. IT IS DIVINE UTTERANCE." P. 147 L. 24, "Our Master healed the sick, but He left NO DEFINITE RULE for demonstrating this principle of healing and preventing disease. THIS RULE REMAINED TO BE DISCOVERED IN CHRISTIAN SCIENCE." This sets aside the

Holy Spirit, and ascribes His power and work to Christian Science. John 14: 26, "But the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send in my name, He SHALL TEACH YOU ALL THINGS." Now can it be that the Holy Ghost failed in the work He was to do, and left that to a woman who, "**Was conceived in sin, and brought forth in iniquity,**" as Mrs. Eddy says she was. Get this.
P. 476. L. 13, "MORTALS are not fallen children of God.—They were from the beginning of mortal history, CONCEIVED IN SIN and brought forth in INIQUITY." Now do you believe that the Holy Ghost committed this task to such a person? Then He did not do what Jesus said He would do, "Teach you ALL things," but this is not the only place where Mrs. Eddy sets herself above the Holy Ghost. But the question for every honest person, is, which is to be believed; The Bible, or Science and Health?

P. 232. L. 32, "THERE IS NEITHER PLACE nor OPPORTUNITY IN SCIENCE for ERROR of any sort." We would like to know when Science attained this perfection. And WHY WAS their text book, Science and Health revised so many times?

P. 274. L. 23, "Divine Science IS ABSOLUTE." Now hear what she says about the Bible. **P. 139. L. 15, "The MANIFEST MISTAKES in the ancient versions; the THIRTY THOUSAND DIFFERENT READINGS in the old Testament, and the THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND IN THE New, these facts show how a MORTAL and MATERIAL SENSE STOLE INTO the divine record."** But NO place for such mistake in Mrs. Eddy's book.

In the Christian Science Quarterly, the official magazine of the cult, we find the following. "**The cononical writings, together with the word of our text book (Science and Health) corroborating and explaining the Bible texts in their spiritual import and application to all ages, past, present, and future—constitute a sermon undivorced from truth, uncontaminated and unfettered by human hypothesis and authorized by Christ.**" again we find the Editor of the Christian Science Journal, writes, "**The Bible and Science and Health as one Book—The Sacred Scripture.**" The Christian Science Journal Apr. 1889 says, "**Horror many good people have of our making the author of Science and Health equal with Jesus—She is God—sent to the world as much as any character of Sacred Writ.**" and again, "**Mrs. Eddy and God makes a majority.**" In The

Christian Sentinel, June, 1899, we read, from an address given by Mrs. Eddy at the annual meeting at the mother church, "**It was not then Mrs. Eddy whom the people heard but—THE VOICE OF GOD.**"

We believe that we have shown here by quotations taken from Science and Health, concerning her own book, and the Bible, that Mrs. Eddy and Christian Scientists, take the "Key to the Scriptures" Science and Health as their guide, and not the teachings of the Bible, as they would like to have you believe. In every quotation that Mrs. Eddy uses, she either CONTRADICTS or theorizes it until the Holy Ghost Himself would not recognize it as His revelation. There is one thing sure. If you accept Christian Science, you MUST REJECT the BIBLE: And if you accept the Bible, you MUST REJECT Christian Science. You CAN-NOT believe both.

Deacidified using the Bookkeeper process.
Neutralizing agent: Magnesium Oxide
Treatment Date: April 2006

Preservation Technologies

A WORLD LEADER IN PAPER PRESERVATION

111 Thomson Park Drive
Cranberry Township, PA 16066
(724) 779-2111



LIBRARY OF CONGRESS



0 017 647 267 4

